arXiv:math/0610044v2 [math.OA] 10 Aug 2007

KK-THEORETIC DUALITY FOR PROPER TWISTED ACTIONS

SIEGFRIED ECHTERHOFF, HEATH EMERSON, AND HYUN JEONG KIM

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a smooth continuous trace algebra, with a Riemannian manifold spectrum X, equipped with a smooth action by a discrete group G such that G acts on X properly and isometrically. Then $\mathcal{A}^{-1} \rtimes G$ is KK-theoretically Poincaré dual to $\big(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} C_\tau(X)\big) \rtimes G$, where $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\mathcal{A}$ in the Brauer group of Morita equivalence classes of continuous trace algebras equipped with a group action. We deduce this from a strengthening of Kasparov's duality theorem. As applications we obtain a version of the above Poincaré duality with X replaced by a compact G-manifold M and Poincaré dualities for twisted group algebras if the group satisfies some additional properties related to the Dirac dual-Dirac method for the Baum-Connes conjecture.

0. Introduction

Various versions of Poincaré duality in KK-theory and in equivariant KK-theory played a key role in the study of the Baum-Connes and Novikov conjectures and in index theory of pseudodifferential operators. In the past few years, instances of Poincaré duality have appeared, in addition, in quite different contexts, *e.g.* dynamics ([12]), and in connection with Gromov hyperbolic groups ([7, 8]). For an extensive discussion of Poincaré duality in the context of operator algebras we refer to the recent preprint [4] by Brodzki et al.

The simplest type of duality is the non-equivariant sort, which was formulated by Connes, Kasparov and Skandalis; we refer to this as *noncommutative duality.* Two C*-algebras Λ and $\widehat{\Lambda}$ are noncommutative duals (or are Poincaré dual) if there is given, for every pair of C*-algebras (A, B), an isomorphism

$$\Phi_{A,B}\colon\ \mathrm{KK}(\Lambda\hat{\otimes}A, B) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KK}(A, \widehat{\Lambda}\hat{\otimes}B),$$

and such that $(A, B) \mapsto \Phi_{A,B}$ is natural with respect to composition in KK and with respect to external products. Such a duality is equivalent to the existence of a pair $\widehat{\Delta} \in \mathrm{KK}(\mathbb{C}, \widehat{\Lambda}\hat{\otimes}\Lambda)$ and $\Delta \in \mathrm{KK}(\Lambda\hat{\otimes}\widehat{\Lambda}, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying the 'zigzag equations' of the theory of adjoint functors. One of the simplest examples is the C*-algebra of a finite group, where duality is equivalent to a very modest extension of the usual statement of the Green-Julg theorem, that

$$\mathrm{KK}(C^*(H)\hat{\otimes}A, B) \cong \mathrm{KK}^H(A, B) \cong \mathrm{KK}(A, C^*(H)\hat{\otimes}B)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19K35, 46L80.

This research was supported by the EU-Network *Quantum Spaces and Noncommutative Geometry* (Contract HPRN-CT-2002-00280) and the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* (SFB 478) and by the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant program.

when A and B are *trivial* H-C*-algebras. (The precise *form* of the isomorphism is of course important.) The corresponding class $\Delta \in \mathrm{KK}(C^*(H)\hat{\otimes}C^*(H), \mathbb{C})$ is that of the $*$-homomorphism

$$a\hat{\otimes}b \mapsto \lambda(a)\rho(b) \in \mathcal{K}(\ell^2 H),$$

where λ is the left regular representation and ρ the right.

The first purpose of this article is to generalize duality for C*-algebras of finite groups in a very obvious way, namely by extending to the situation where a discrete, possibly infinite group G, acts properly and co-compactly on a manifold X. In such a situation one can fix a G-invariant Riemannian metric on X. We are then in the situation of Kasparov's duality theorem [15, Theorem 4.9], which gives a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{RKK}^G(X; A, B) = \mathcal{R}\,\mathrm{KK}^G\big(X; C_0(X, A), C_0(X, B)\big) \cong \mathrm{KK}^G\big(C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}A, B\big) \tag{0.1}$$

for arbitrary G-C^*-algebras A and B, where $C_\tau(X)$ is the C*-algebra of C_0-sections of the Clifford bundle over X. It is not very hard to show that Kasparov's duality implies that the C^*-algebras $C_0(X) \rtimes G$ and $C_\tau(X) \rtimes G$ are Poincaré dual. It is of interest to have explicit descriptions of the cycles giving rise to the duality classes Δ and $\widehat{\Delta}$, but these will be given elsewhere.

The main contribution of this paper is to extend this result by stating and proving a twisted version of it, namely that if $C_0(X, \delta)$ is a continuous trace algebra, i.e., the section algebra of a locally trivial G-equivariant bundle of elementary C*-algebras representing an element δ of the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ (see [5]), then $C_0(X, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G$ is Poincaré dual to $\big(C_0(X, \delta)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_\tau(X)\big) \rtimes G$, where δ^{-1} is the inverse of δ in the Brauer group. To prove this, we need to go back to the argument of the previous paragraph. What is needed is an extension of Kasparov's duality to the case where $C_0(X, A)$ is replaced by a section algebra $\Gamma_0(E)$ of a smooth G-equivariant locally trivial bundle of C*-algebras $p : E \to X$. For purposes of this extension, G is allowed to be a locally compact group and we do not assume the action to be proper, but we require that the bundle E is endowed with a G-invariant connection (see Section 1 below for the precise requirements). Such connections clearly exist when the bundle E is smooth and a discrete group G acts smoothly and properly or if a compact group G acts smoothly on E.

We give the proof of our version of Kasparov's duality in Section 1 below (Theorem 1.13). In Section 2 we first apply this result to obtain Poincaré duality for twisted K-theory of compact manifolds equivariant under an action of a compact group G (Corollary 2.2). We then obtain our duality result for crossed products $C_0(X, \delta) \rtimes G$ (Theorem 2.9). As applications, we obtain in Section 3 Poincaré dualities for crossed products $C(M, \delta) \rtimes G$, where G acts isometrically on the compact manifold M and δ is a smooth element of the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}_G(M)$ and for group algebras $C^*(G, \omega)$ twisted by 2-cocycles $\omega \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, if G satisfies some additional properties related to the Dirac-dual Dirac method for the Baum-Connes conjecture (Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3). A special case of the latter has been obtained in [4, Example 2.6] (see also [4, Example 2.17]). In Section 4, we extend our results to a version of Poincaré duality on non-G-compact manifolds using a compactly supported K-homology theory. Finally, in the course of proving the main results, we needed to prove the existence of G-equivariant Hermitian connections in certain cases. However in order to maintain the flow of ideas, we have placed these auxiliary discussions in the Appendix.

Remark 0.1. In the final stages of preparation of this note, a preprint of J.-L. Tu has come to our attention ([26]), in which he proves Poincaré duality for twisted K-theory for compact manifolds equivariant under a compact Lie group action, i.e., he gives a proof of Corollary 2.2 below (with some minor differences in the assumptions). Although the results have been obtained independently, there are noticeable similarities in the method of proof.

1. Kasparov's Poincaré duality for bundles

If G is a locally compact group, then by a *G-manifold* we shall always understand a complete Riemannian manifold X equipped with an action of G by isometric diffeomorphisms. The section algebra $C_\tau(X)$ of the complex Clifford bundle of TX (which, by abuse of notation, we shall also refer to simply as the *Clifford bundle of* X) is then equipped with a canonical action of G.

Let $p : E \to X$ be a G-equivariant locally trivial bundle of C^*-algebras. For such a bundle $p : E \to X$ we denote by $\Gamma_0(E)$ the algebra of C_0-sections of E. For simplicity, we will use the notation $\Gamma_\tau(E)$ for $\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_\tau(X)$. We would like to formulate the following extension of Kasparov's first Poincaré duality (0.1) to such bundles:

$$\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; \Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A,\, C_0(X,B)) \cong \mathrm{KK}^G(\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}A,\, B). \tag{1.1}$$

We refer to [15, 2.19] for the definition of the groups $\mathrm{RKK}^G(X;A,B)$ for G-C*-algebras A,B and $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ for $C_0(X)$-algebras $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}$ and X a locally compact G-space. Recall that $\mathrm{RKK}^G(X;A,B) = \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;C_0(X,A),C_0(X,B))$.

Remark 1.1. We should note that equation (1.1) cannot hold for arbitrary $C_0(X)$-algebras, even if G is the trivial group. To see a simple example let $X = S^2$ and let x be any fixed element of S^2. Consider $\mathbb{C}$ as a $C(S^2)$ algebra via the module action $f \cdot \lambda := f(x)\lambda$. Then one easily checks that $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}(S^2;\mathbb{C},C(S^2)) = \{0\}$. Indeed, if $[\mathcal{H},\phi,T]$ is a cycle representing a class in that group, we may first assume by standard arguments that $\phi(\lambda) = \lambda\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the Hilbert $C(S^2)$-module $\mathcal{H}$ consists of continuous sections of a continuous bundle of Hilbert spaces over S^2 such that $f \cdot \xi = f(x)\xi$ for all $f \in C(S^2)$. But this implies that $f(x)\xi(y) = (f\cdot\xi)(y) = (\xi\cdot f)(y) = \xi(y)f(y)$ for all $f \in C(S^2)$ and $y \in S^2$. This implies $\xi(y) = 0$ for all $y \neq x$, and then $\xi = 0$ by continuity. On the other hand, we have

$$\mathrm{KK}(C_\tau(S^2)\hat{\otimes}_{C(S^2)}\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{KK}(\mathcal{C}l_2,\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathcal{C}l_2$ denotes the second complex Clifford algebra.

Before we proceed, let us investigate in detail the original Kasparov Poincaré duality (0.1). We first recall some definitions and notation of Kasparov from [15, 4.3 and Definition 4.4].

Notation 1.2. Let X be a Riemannian G-manifold with distance function $\rho : X \times X \to [0,\infty)$. Then there is a continuous G-invariant positive function r such that for any $x,\, y \in X$ with $\rho(x,y) < r(x)$, there is a unique geodesic segment joining x and y. It can be defined as follows (see [15, 4.3]): Let $c_0(x)$ be the supremum of absolute values of all sectional curvatures of X at x, and $c_1(x)$ the supremum of $c_0(y)$ for all y with $\rho(x,y) \leq 1$. Then $r(x) = (c_1(x)+1)^{-1/2}$ satisfies these requirements.

Now let U_x be an open Riemannian ball of radius $r(x)$ around x. Denote by Θ_x the covector field in U_x which at the point $y \in U_x$ is given by

$$\Theta_x(y) = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{r(x)}(d_y\rho)(x,y),$$

where d_y means the exterior derivative in y. Consider the C*-algebra $C_\tau(U_x)$ as a Hilbert module over $C_\tau(X)$. Then $\Theta_x \in M(C_\tau(U_x))$, and ${\Theta_x}^2 - 1 \in C_0(U_x)$. The field of pairs $\{(C_\tau(U_x), \Theta_x)_{x\in X}\}$ defines an element of the group $\mathrm{RKK}^G(X;\mathbb{C}, C_\tau(X))$ which will be denoted by Θ_X. Alternatively, one may consider Θ_X as a pair $(\mathcal{F}_U, \Theta)$, where

$$U = \{(x,y) \in X \times X \mid \rho(x,y) < r(x)\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_U = \big(C_0(X)\hat{\otimes} C_\tau(X)\big)|_U,$$

and $\Theta \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_U)$ is given by the family $\{\Theta_x\}$ (see [15, Definition 4.4]). We call Θ_X the *weak dual-Dirac element of* X.

Kasparov's isomorphism (0.1) is given by the map

$$\begin{gathered}\mu : \mathrm{RKK}^G(X; A, B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KK}^G\big(C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes} A, B\big)\\ \mu(\alpha) = \sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau(X)} d_X\end{gathered} \tag{1.2}$$

with its inverse given by the map

$$\begin{gathered}\nu : \mathrm{KK}^G\big(C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes} A, B\big) \longrightarrow \mathrm{RKK}^G(X; A, B)\\ \nu(\beta) = \Theta_X \hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes} C_\tau(X)} \sigma_{C_0(X)}(\beta),\end{gathered} \tag{1.3}$$

(see [15, Theorem 4.9]) where d_X is the Dirac class defined in [15, 4.2]. Now, in a first attempt to prove the isomorphism (1.1) one can see that the formula from (1.2) still gives us a well-defined homomorphism

$$\begin{gathered}\mu : \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; \Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes} A,\, C_0(X,B)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KK}^G(\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes} A,\, B)\\ \mu(\alpha) = \sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau(X)} d_X,\end{gathered} \tag{1.4}$$

even if we would replace $\Gamma_0(E)$ by any $C_0(X)$-algebra. But, unfortunately, the inverse map ν of (1.3) has no obvious extension to the bundle case, and it is the main technical result of this paper to show that in many important situations one can find a substitute for (1.3) which will do the job (it follows from Remark 1.1 above that a similar result would be impossible for general $C_0(X)$-algebras). As we shall see below, the main ingredient in this construction will be the construction of a certain element $\Theta_E \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; \Gamma_0(E), C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E))$ (with $C_0(X)$-action on the first factor of the algebra $C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)$), which will replace the element Θ_X in (1.3).

Remark 1.3. **(1)** Notice that the class $\Theta_X \in \mathrm{RKK}^G(X;\mathbb{C}, C_\tau(X))$ does not depend on the particular choice of the function $r : X \to (0,\infty)$. In fact, if $r_0, r_1 : X \to (0,\infty)$ are two such maps, then the elements $\Theta_t \in \mathrm{RKK}^G(X;\mathbb{C}, C_\tau(X))$ constructed as above via the distance functions $r_t(x) := tr_1(x) + (1-t)r_0(x)$ give a homotopy connecting the elements corresponding to r_0, r_1. In particular, if $x \mapsto r(x)$ has a lower bound $\eta > 0$ (e.g., if $G\backslash X$ is compact), then we may replace the function r by the constant η in the construction of Θ_X. In that case, the set U is clearly symmetric.
(2) The pair $(\mathcal{F}_U, \Theta)$ also determines a class

$$\Theta_U \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; C_0(X), (C_0(X)\hat{\otimes} C_\tau(X))|_U),$$

so that the class $\Theta_X \in \mathrm{RKK}^G(X;\mathbb{C},C_\tau(X)) = \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;C_0(X),C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))$ satisfies the equation

$$\Theta_X = \iota_*(\Theta_U), \tag{1.5}$$

where $\iota : (C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))|_U \to C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)$ denotes the inclusion map. In what follows below, we shall often work with the element Θ_U.

Remark 1.4. The first idea for the construction of the element Θ_E was to consider the class $\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_X) \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E),\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))$, but it turns out that the algebra in the second variable of $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\cdot,\cdot)$ is not quite right. We probably could define Θ_E via $\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_X)$ if we had a G-equivariant $C_0(X\times X)$-linear isomorphism

$$\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X) \cong C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E). \tag{1.6}$$

Note that the two algebras are obtained by pulling back the bundle $p : E \to X$ via the projection maps $p_0, p_1 : X\times X \to X$ on the first and second variable, respectively, and then by tensoring $C_\tau(X)$ on the second variable of the section algebras of the pull-backs. We shall define Θ_E in Definition 1.11 based on this idea after we discus some further preliminaries.

Notation 1.5. Recall the construction of the open subset U of $X\times X$ in Notation 1.2. The important property of U is that for each $(x,y)\in U$ there exists a unique geodesic $\gamma_{x,y} : [0,1] \to X$ joining x and y. Then the projection on the first variable $p_0 : U \to X$ and the projection on the second variable $p_1 : U \to X$ are G-equivariantly homotopic given by the homotopy

$$p_t : U \longrightarrow X;\ p_t(x,y) = \gamma_{x,y}(t).$$

Let $P : U\times[0,1] \to X,\ P(x,y,t) = p_t(x,y)$ and $P_t : U\times[0,1] \to X;\ P_t(x,y,s) = p_t(x,y)$. Then, forgetting the G-action, it is a well-known fact from the theory of fibre bundles (e.g. see [11]) that there exists a bundle isomorphism

$$\varphi : P^*E \to P_0^*E \cong [0,1]\times p_0^*E \tag{1.7}$$

which fixes the base $U\times[0,1]$ of these bundles, where, as usual, P^*E and P_0^*E denote the pull-backs of the bundle E via the maps P and P_0.

Remark 1.6. It is not clear whether or not one can always arrange for the map φ in (1.7) to be G-equivariant. If it *is* possible, we shall call $p : E \to X$ a *feasible* G-bundle over X.

We certainly have feasibility if $E = X\times A$ is a trivial bundle, A is a G-C*-algebra and the action on E is given by the diagonal action, in which case the isomorphism (1.1) is equivalent to Kasparov's original result (0.1). Moreover, it is shown in [2] and [26, Section 3.2] that $p : E \to X$ is always G-isomorphic to a feasible bundle in our sense if the fibres of E are the compact operators $\mathcal{K}$, G is a compact Lie group and the bundle $p : E \to X$ satisfies a certain local condition which is spelled out in [2, §6]. If G is a compact group which acts *trivially* on the base X of a locally trivial bundle of compact operators $p : E \to X$ one can use the classification of such bundles as given in [6] to show that they are always feasible at least if we allow to pass to a Morita equivalent bundle (which will not affect equation (1.1)). However, the details of this could easily fill one or two pages, and we do not think that this case is interesting enough to justify this effort.

In general, obtaining feasibility can be a non-trivial problem. In the Appendix (see Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3 below) we shall prove:

Proposition 1.7. *Suppose that $p : E \to X$ is a locally trivial smooth G-bundle of C^*-algebras over the G-manifold X (this implies in particular, that the action of G is by smooth bundle automorphisms). Then $p : E \to X$ is feasible if there exists a G-invariant Hermitian connection on E. In particular, if G is compact or if G is discrete and acts properly on X, then $p : E \to X$ is feasible.*

Remark 1.8. Notice that for every G-manifold X the Levi-Civita connection induces a canonical G-invariant connection on the Clifford bundle, so the Clifford bundle of a G-manifold X is always feasible.

Remark 1.9. A recent paper by Müller and Wockel ([20]) actually implies that every locally trivial bundle $p : E \to X$ of compact operators over a manifold X is isomorphic to a smooth bundle. So the bundles of our main interest, namely those whose section algebras are continuous trace algebras can always be chosen as to be smooth. But, unfortunately, it is not clear whether any (proper) group action on the given algebra can be realized, up to Morita equivalence, by a smooth action on this bundle. So it is not clear whether our smoothness assumptions can be avoided in that situation. Note that it is definitely not true that every automorphism of A comes from a smooth automorphism of E, even if the bundle is trivial and the group acts trivially on the base. The reason is that strongly continuous maps from X to $\mathcal{P}U$ are not automatically smooth.

Suppose for now that $\varphi : P^*E \to P_0^*E$ is a G-equivariant bundle isomorphism. Then it induces a G-equivariant and $C_0(U \times [0,1])$-linear isomorphism of the section algebras

$$\phi : \Gamma_0(P^*E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma_0(P_0^*E) \tag{1.8}$$

which restricts on the sets $U \times \{t\} \cong U$ to G-equivariant and $C_0(U)$-linear isomorphisms

$$\phi_t : \Gamma_0(p_t^*E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma_0(p_0^*E) \tag{1.9}$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$ (since φ restricts to isomorphisms $\varphi_t : p_t^*E \to p_0^*E$ on $U \times \{t\} \cong U$). Note further that

$$\Gamma_0(p_0^*E) = (\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}C_0(X))|_U \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_0(p_1^*E) = (C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_0(E))|_U, \tag{1.10}$$

where for any continuous C*-algebra bundle E over some base space Y and any locally compact subset V of Y we put $\Gamma_0(E)|_V := \Gamma_0(E|_V)$ (note that $\Gamma_0(E)|_V$ can also be realized as the balanced tensor product $C_0(V)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(Y)}\Gamma_0(E)$ (e.g. see [23]) which carries canonical $C_0(V)$- **and** $C_0(Y)$-algebra structures). Recall from (1.9) that we have a $C_0(U)$-linear (and hence also $C_0(X \times X)$-linear) isomorphism

$$\phi_1 : (\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}C_0(X))|_U \to (C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_0(E))|_U. \tag{1.11}$$

If we consider both algebras as $C_0(X)$-algebras with respect to the second component, it follows from a simple argument of associativity of balanced tensor product (see Lemma 1.10 below) that we obtain a G-equivariant $C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)$-linear isomorphism

$$\overline{\phi}_1 := \phi_1\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)} \mathrm{id}_{C_\tau(X)} : (\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} (C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E))|_U. \tag{1.12}$$

Lemma 1.10. *Assume that* A *is a* $C_0(X\times Y)$*-algebra,* B *is a* $C_0(X)$*-algebra and* C *is a* $C_0(Y)$*-algebra. Then* $B\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}A$ *and* $A\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(Y)}C$ *are* $C_0(X\times Y)$*-algebras and there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\big(B\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}A\big)\,\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(Y)}C \cong B\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\,\big(A\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(Y)}C\big)$$

as $C_0(X\times Y)$*-algebras. This isomorphism is also* $C_0(X\times Y)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(Y)}C\cong C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C$*-linear.*

Proof. It is clear that the $C_0(X\times Y)$-structure on A induces canonical $C_0(X\times Y)$-structures on $B\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}A$ and $A\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(Y)}C$. It is then easy to check that the map

$$(b\hat{\otimes}a)\hat{\otimes}c\mapsto b\hat{\otimes}(a\hat{\otimes}c)$$

satisfies all requirements of the lemma. □

Definition 1.11. For a feasible bundle $p:E\longrightarrow X$, we define Θ_E as the element

$$\Theta_E=\iota_*\big(\overline{\phi}_{1,*}^{-1}\big(\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_U)\big)\big)\in\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E),C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)),$$

where Θ_U is from Remark 1.3(2). Here $\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_U)\in\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E),(\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))|_U)$ is the element obtained by tensoring Θ_U over $C_0(X)$ with $\Gamma_0(E)$,

$$\overline{\phi}_1^{-1}:(\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))|_U\to(C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E))|_U$$

is the inverse of the isomorphism of (1.12), and $\iota:(C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E))|_U\to C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)$ denotes inclusion.

Remark 1.12. For later use it is necessary to give a precise description of a Kasparov triple corresponding to Θ_E. For this it is convenient to introduce some further notation. For $t\in[0,1]$ recall that $p_t:U\to X$ is defined by $p_t(x,y)=\gamma_{x,y}(t)$, with $\gamma_{x,y}$ the geodesic joining x with y. We then have an obvious equation

$$\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_t}\Gamma_0(E):=\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U)}\Gamma_0(p_t^*E)\tag{1.13}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_t}\Gamma_0(E)$ is the balanced tensor product of $\mathcal{F}_U=\big(C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)\big)|_U$ with $\Gamma_0(E)$ when $\mathcal{F}_U$ carries the $C_0(X)$ structure induced by the map $p_t:U\to X$. Note that, in particular, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E)\cong\big(\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)\big)|_U\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\Gamma_0(E)\cong\big(C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)\big)|_U.$$

Following Definition 1.11, we obtain

$$\Theta_E=[(\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\Gamma_0(E),\psi_E,\Theta\hat{\otimes}1)],\tag{1.14}$$

with left action of $\Gamma_0(E)$ on this module given by the formula

$$\psi_E(f)\cdot\xi:=(\overline{\phi}_1)^{-1}\big(f\cdot\overline{\phi}_1(\xi)\big)\tag{1.15}$$

where the product $f\cdot\overline{\phi}_1(\xi)$ is given via the canonical action of $\Gamma_0(E)$ on

$$\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E)\overset{\overline{\phi}_1^{-1}}{\cong}\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\Gamma_0(E).$$

Since this isomorphism is $\mathcal{F}_U$-linear (1.11), it follows that the operator in Θ_E is given by Kasparov's operator Θ acting on the first variable of $\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\Gamma_0(E)$. We now state the main result of this section:

Theorem 1.13. *Let $p : E \longrightarrow X$ be a feasible locally trivial G-equivariant C^*-algebra bundle over a G-manifold X and recall that $\Gamma_\tau(E) = \Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_\tau(X)$. Then, for any pair of G-algebras A and B, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mu : \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A,\, C_0(X,B)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KK}^G(\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}A,\, B)$$
$$\mu(\alpha) := \sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau(X)}d_X$$

with inverse given by

$$\nu : \mathrm{KK}^G(\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}A,\, B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A,\, C_0(X,B))$$
$$\nu(\beta) := \Theta_E\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\sigma_{C_0(X)}(\beta),$$

where Θ_E is the class as defined in Definition 1.11.

In Section 4 we shall prove an analogue of the above theorem for a manifold with boundary (see Theorem 4.11 below). The main work for the proof of the Theorem 1.13 will be done in the proofs of the technical lemmas, Lemma 1.14 and Lemma 1.15 below. For later use in the proof of Lemma 1.14, we actually have to extend the construction of Θ_E as follows: Consider $P : U \times [0,1] \to X; P(x,y,t) = p_t(x,y)$. We want to construct a certain element

$$\Theta_P \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G\left(X \times [0,1]; \Gamma_0(E)[0,1], \mathcal{F}_U[0,1]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U\times[0,1])}\Gamma_0(P^*E)\right),$$

where for any algebra A we write $A[0,1]$ for $C\big([0,1],A\big)$. Since E is feasible we have an isomorphism

$$\phi : \Gamma_0(P^*E) \to \Gamma_0(P_0^*(E)) \cong \Gamma_0(p_0^*E)[0,1]$$

which then induces an $\mathcal{F}_U[0,1]$-linear isomorphism

$$\overline{\phi} : \mathcal{F}_U[0,1]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U\times[0,1])}\Gamma_0(P^*E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F}_U[0,1]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U\times[0,1])}\Gamma_0(P_0^*E). \tag{1.16}$$

Moreover, we have an obvious isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{F}_U[0,1]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U\times[0,1])}\Gamma_0(P_0^*E) \cong \big(\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E)\big)[0,1]. \tag{1.17}$$

Thus we can define the element Θ_P by

$$\Theta_P := \overline{\phi}_*^{-1}\left(\sigma_{C[0,1]}\big(\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_U)\big)\right). \tag{1.18}$$

Evaluation of this element at $t \in [0,1]$ gives an element

$$\Theta_t \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E), \mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_t}\Gamma_0(E))$$

which is given by the Kasparov triple

$$\Theta_t = [(\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_t}\Gamma_0(E), \psi_t, \Theta\hat{\otimes}1)] \tag{1.19}$$

with $\psi_t : \Gamma_0(E) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_t}\Gamma_0(E))$ given by the formula

$$\psi_t(f)\xi := (\overline{\phi}_t)^{-1}\left(f \cdot \overline{\phi}_t(\xi)\right) \tag{1.20}$$

where $\overline{\phi}_t : \mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U)}\Gamma_0(p_t^*E) \to \mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U)}\Gamma_0(p_0^*E)$ is the isomorphism induced by the isomorphism $\phi_t : \Gamma_0(p_t^*E) \to \Gamma_0(p_0^*E)$ of (1.19). In particular, it follows from our constructions that

$$\iota_*(\Theta_1) = \Theta_E \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_0 = \sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_U). \tag{1.21}$$

The following lemma is an extension of [15, Lemma 4.5]:

Lemma 1.14. *Let A and B be G-algebras. For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A,\, C_0(X,B))$, the equation*

$$\alpha\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\Theta_X = \Theta_E\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\left(\sigma_{C_0(X)}\big(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}\left(\alpha\right)\big)\right). \tag{1.22}$$

holds in $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A,\, C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}B)$.

Proof. Since taking Kasparov products over $C_0(X)$ in $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\cdot,\cdot)$-theory is commutative by [15, Proposition 2.21], we get

$$\alpha\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\Theta_X = \Theta_X\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\alpha = \sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_X)\hat{\otimes}_{\Gamma_0(E)}\alpha.$$

Hence it is enough to show that

$$\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_X)\hat{\otimes}_{\Gamma_0(E)}\alpha = \Theta_E\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\left(\sigma_{C_0(X)}\big(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}\left(\alpha\right)\big)\right).$$

Consider the map $P : U\times[0,1]\to X, (x,y,t)\mapsto p_t(x,y)$ and the pull-back

$$P^*(\alpha)\in\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G\left(U\times[0,1];\,\Gamma_0(P^*E)\hat{\otimes}A,\, C_0(U\times[0,1])\hat{\otimes}B\right)$$

as in [15, Proposition 2.20]. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &:= j_*\left(\sigma_{U\times[0,1],\mathcal{F}_U[0,1]}(P^*\alpha)\hat{\otimes}[\iota]\right)\\ &\in\ \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G\left(X\times[0,1];\,\mathcal{F}_U[0,1]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U\times[0,1])}\Gamma_0(P^*E)\hat{\otimes}A,\, C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}B[0,1]\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\iota:\mathcal{F}_U[0,1]\hat{\otimes}B\hookrightarrow C([0,1])\hat{\otimes}C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}B$ denotes inclusion and j_* is the map which changes the $C_0(U\times[0,1])$-structure to the $C_0(X\times[0,1])$-structure by letting $C_0(X)$ act on the first variable in $C_0(U)$. Then the restrictions of β at 0 and 1 are

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_0 &= [\iota^0]\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_0(E)}\big(\sigma_{C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\big)\\ \beta_1 &= [\iota^1]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\left(\sigma_{C_0(X)}\big(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\big)\right),\end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

where ι^0,ι^1 denote the inclusions

$$\iota^0:\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A\hookrightarrow C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A$$

and

$$\iota^1:\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A\hookrightarrow C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}A.$$

Indeed, if α is represented by the triple $(\mathcal{E},\varphi_\alpha,T)$, the isomorphism

$$(C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\mathcal{E}\cong\mathcal{E}\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)$$

restricted to U gives the isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\mathcal{E}\cong(\mathcal{E}\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))|_U$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_0 &= \sigma_{U,\mathcal{F}_U}\left(p_0^*(\alpha)\right)\hat{\otimes}[\iota]\\ &= \left[\big(\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U)}(C_0(U)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\mathcal{E}),\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}_U}\hat{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}_{C_0(U)}\hat{\otimes}\varphi_\alpha),\,1\hat{\otimes}T\big)\right]\\ &= \left[\big((\mathcal{E}\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))|_U,\,\varphi_\alpha\hat{\otimes}\,\mathrm{id}_{C_\tau(X)},\,T\hat{\otimes}1\big)\right].\end{aligned}$$

which is easily seen to coincide with $[\iota^0]\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_0(E)}\big(\sigma_{C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\big)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 &= \sigma_{U,\mathcal{F}_U}(p_1^*(\alpha))\hat{\otimes}[\iota]\\ &= \left[\big(\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\mathcal{E},\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}_U}\hat{\otimes}\varphi_\alpha,\,1\hat{\otimes}T\big)\right]\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\iota^1]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}A}\left(\sigma_{C_0(X)}\left(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\right)\right)\\
&= [\iota^1]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}A}\left[\left(C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}(C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\mathcal{E}),\, \mathrm{id}_{C_0(X)}\hat{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}_{C_\tau(X)}\hat{\otimes}\varphi_\alpha),\, 1\hat{\otimes}T\right)\right]\\
&= \left[\left(\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\mathcal{E},\, \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}_U}\hat{\otimes}\varphi_\alpha,\, 1\hat{\otimes}T\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of the lemma, we consider the element

$$\Theta_\alpha := \sigma_A(\Theta_P)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}_U[0,1]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U\times[0,1])}(\Gamma_0(P^*E)\hat{\otimes}A)}\ \beta$$

in $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G\left(X\times[0,1];\,\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A[0,1],\, C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}B[0,1]\right)$. Then by (1.21) and (1.23), the evaluations of Θ_α at 0 and 1 are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Theta_\alpha)_0 &= \sigma_A\left(\Theta_0\right)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U)}(\Gamma_0(p_0^*E)\hat{\otimes}A)}\ \beta_0\\
&= \Big(\sigma_A\big(\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_U)\big)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U)}(\Gamma_0(p_0^*E)\hat{\otimes}A)}\ [\iota^0]\Big)\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A}\big(\sigma_{C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\big)\\
&= \sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_X)\hat{\otimes}_{\Gamma_0(E)}\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Theta_\alpha)_1 &= \sigma_A\left(\Theta_1\right)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U)}(\Gamma_0(p_1^*E)\hat{\otimes}A)}\ \beta_0\\
&= \Big(\sigma_A(\Theta_1)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A}\ [\iota^1]\Big)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}A}\ \big(\sigma_{C_0(X)}\big(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\big)\big)\\
&= \sigma_A(\iota^1_*(\Theta_1))\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}A}\ \big(\sigma_{C_0(X)}\big(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\big)\big)\\
&= \sigma_A(\Theta_E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}A}\ \big(\sigma_{C_0(X)}\big(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\big)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_X)\hat{\otimes}_{\Gamma_0(E)}\alpha$ and $\sigma_A(\Theta_E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}A}\ \big(\sigma_{C_0(X)}\big(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\big)\big)$ are homotopic. □

Another important step for the proof of Theorem 1.13 is the following bundle-version of [15, Lemma 4.6].

Lemma 1.15. *Let $\Sigma : C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)$ denote the canonical flip-isomorphism. Then*

$$\sigma_{X,\Gamma_\tau(E)}\left(\Theta_X\right) = \Sigma_*\left(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}\left(\Theta_E\right)\right) \tag{1.24}$$

in $\mathrm{KK}^G\left(\Gamma_\tau(E), \Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)\right)$.

Proof. Recall the construction of Θ_E from Definition 1.11 and Remark 1.12:

$$\Theta_E = [(\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\Gamma_0(E),\, \psi_E, \Theta)].$$

The fiberwise action by ψ_E is as follows:

$$\left(\psi_E(\eta)(g\hat{\otimes}\xi)\right)(x,y) = g(x,y)\hat{\otimes}\left(\varphi_{x,y,1}\right)^{-1}\left(\eta(x)\right)\xi(y),$$

where $\eta\in\Gamma_0(E)$, $g\hat{\otimes}\xi\in\mathcal{F}_U\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\Gamma_0(E)$ and

$$\varphi_{x,y,1} : (P^*E)_{(x,y,1)} = E_y \to E_x = (P_0^*E)_{(x,y,1)}$$

is the fibre map of the bundle isomorphism $\varphi : P^*E \to P_0^*E$ of Notations 1.5.

¿From the above, we have

$$\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\Theta_E) = \Big(C_\tau(U)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_1}\Gamma_0(E),\, \widetilde{\psi}_1,\, \Theta\hat{\otimes}1_{\Gamma_0(E)} \Big),$$

where $\widetilde{\psi}_1 = \mathrm{id}_{C_\tau(X)}\hat{\otimes}\psi_E$ and $\Theta(x,y) = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{r(x)}\left(d_y\rho\right)(x,y)$ from Notations 1.2. Then the right hand side of (1.24) is written as follows:

$$\Sigma_*\left(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\Theta_E)\right) = \Big(C_\tau(\widetilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E),\, \psi^\Sigma,\, \Theta^\Sigma\hat{\otimes}1 \Big), \tag{1.25}$$

where $\widetilde{U} = \{(x,y) : (y,x)\in U\}$ and

$$\left(\psi^\Sigma(f\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\eta)(g\hat{\otimes}\xi)\right)(x,y) = f(y)\cdot g(x,y)\hat{\otimes}(\varphi_{y,x,1})^{-1}\left(\eta(y)\right)\xi(x) \tag{1.26}$$

for $f\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\eta \in C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\Gamma_0(E)$, $g\hat{\otimes}\xi \in C_\tau(\widetilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E)$, and

$$\Theta^\Sigma(x,y) = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{r(y)}\left(d_x\rho\right)(x,y). \tag{1.27}$$

On the other hand, the element $\sigma_{X,\Gamma_\tau(E)}$ is easily seen to be represented by the triple

$$\left(C_\tau(U)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E),\, \psi_0,\, \Theta\hat{\otimes}1 \right), \tag{1.28}$$

with Θ as above and with

$$\psi_0\left((f\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\eta)(g\hat{\otimes}\xi)\right)(x,y) = f(x)\cdot g(x,y)\hat{\otimes}\eta(x)\xi(x), \tag{1.29}$$

for $f\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\eta \in C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\Gamma_0(E)$, $g\hat{\otimes}\xi \in C_\tau(U)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E)$.

We are going to use Kasparov's homotopy $\{[(C_\tau(U),\mu_t,\Theta_t)]\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ between $\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\Theta_X)$ and the class $\widetilde{\Theta} \in \mathrm{KK}^G(C_\tau(X), C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))$ given by the triple $(C_\tau(U),\mu_1,\Theta_1)$, where $C_\tau(U) = (C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X))|_U$, $\mu_1 : C_\tau(X)\to\mathcal{L}(C_\tau(U))$ is given by multiplication on the second factor and

$$\Theta_1(x,y) = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{r(x)}\left(d_x\rho\right)(x,y), \tag{1.30}$$

which is carefully described in the proof of [15, Lemma 4.6]. We may consider the triple $(C_\tau(U),\mu_t,\Theta_t)$ as a cycle for a class in $\mathrm{KK}^G(C_\tau(X),C_\tau(U))$ (note that the homomorphism $\mu_t : C_\tau(X)\to\mathcal{L}(C_\tau(U))$ is denoted φ_t in [15]). One can easily check that it determines a class in $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X, C_\tau(X), C_\tau(U))$, when the $C_0(X)$-structure on $C_\tau(U)$ is given by the formula

$$f\cdot\xi(x,y) := f(p_t(x,y))\xi(x,y) \quad \text{for all } f\in C_0(X), \xi\in C_\tau(U).$$

Thus, we see that the family $\{[(C_\tau(U),\mu_t,\Theta_t)]\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ determines a class

$$\widetilde{\Theta} \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X, C_\tau(X), C_\tau(U)[0,1])$$

with respect to the $C_0(X)$-structure on $C_\tau(U)[0,1]$ given by

$$f\cdot\eta(x,y,t) = f(p_t(x,y))\eta(x,y,t) \quad \text{for all } f\in C_0(X), \eta\in C_\tau(U)[0,1].$$

Consider the element

$$\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\widetilde{\Theta}) \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_\tau(E), \Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_\tau(U)[0,1]).$$

The balanced tensor product $\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_\tau(U)[0,1]$ is canonically isomorphic to the algebra $C_\tau(U)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U)}\Gamma_0(P^*E)$, where $P(x,y,t) = p_t(x,y)$ is the map of Notations 1.5.

Second, let $\varpi : \widetilde{U} \times [0,1] \longrightarrow U \times [0,1]$; $(x,y,t) \mapsto (y,x,1-t)$. Then this induces a G-equivariant isomorphism

$$C_\tau(U)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U)}\Gamma_0(P^*E) \cong C_\tau(\widetilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(\widetilde{U})}\Gamma_0(\varpi^*P^*E)$$

and observe that $(P^*E)_{(x,y)} = E_{\gamma_{xy}(t)}$ and $(\varpi^*P^*E)_{(x,y)} = E_{\gamma_{yx}(1-t)}$. The isomorphism $\varphi : P^*E \to P_0^*E$ turns into an isomorphism $\widetilde{\varphi} : \varpi^*P^*E \to \varpi^*P_0^*E = (P_0 \circ \varpi)^*E$, and we notice that $P_0 \circ \varpi : \widetilde{U} \times [0,1] \to X$ equals $P_1 : \widetilde{U} \times [0,1] \to X; P_1(x,y,t) = y$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C_\tau(U)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(U)}\Gamma_0(P^*E) &\cong C_\tau(\widetilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(\widetilde{U})}\Gamma_0(\varpi^*P^*E) \\ &\cong C_\tau(\widetilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(\widetilde{U})}\Gamma_0(\varpi^*P_0^*E) \\ &\cong C_\tau(\widetilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(\widetilde{U})}\Gamma_0(P_1^*E) \\ &\cong C_\tau(\widetilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(\widetilde{U})}\Gamma_0(p_1^*E)[0,1] \\ &\overset{\mathrm{id}\,\hat{\otimes}\tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}}{\cong} C_\tau(\widetilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(\widetilde{U})}\Gamma_0(p_0^*E)[0,1], \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_1 : \Gamma_0(p_0^*E) \to \Gamma_0(p_1^*E)$ with respect to the base $\widetilde{U}$ is induced by the isomorphism $\phi_1 : \Gamma_0(p_1^*E) \to \Gamma_0(p_0^*E)$ on the base U via the flip $\sigma : U \to \widetilde{U}$.

Denote by Ψ the above chain of isomorphisms. Note that Ψ maps the fibre $\mathcal{C}l_{x,y}\hat{\otimes}E_x$ over the point $(x,y,0) \in U \times [0,1]$ identically to the fibre $\mathcal{C}l_{x,y}\hat{\otimes}E_x$ over $(y,x,1) \in \widetilde{U} \times [0,1]$ and the fibre $\mathcal{C}l_{x,y}\hat{\otimes}E_y$ over $(x,y,1) \in U \times [0,1]$ to the fibre $\mathcal{C}l_{x,y}\hat{\otimes}E_x$ over $(y,x,0) \in \widetilde{U} \times [0,1]$ via the map $\mathrm{id}\,\hat{\otimes}\varphi_{y,x,1}^{-1}$.

Now, forgetting the $C_0(X)$-structure of $\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\widetilde{\Theta})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_*\left(\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\widetilde{\Theta})\right) &\in \mathrm{KK}^G\left(\Gamma_\tau(E), C_\tau(\widetilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(\widetilde{U})}\Gamma_0(p_0^*E)[0,1]\right) \\ &\xrightarrow{\iota_*} \mathrm{KK}^G\left(\Gamma_\tau(E), \left(\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)\right)[0,1]\right). \end{aligned}$$

If we evaluate this class at 0 and 1 we get the triples:

$$\left(C_\tau(\tilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E), \widetilde{\psi}_0, \widetilde{\Theta}_0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(C_\tau(\tilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E), \widetilde{\psi}_1, \widetilde{\Theta}_1\right)$$

with

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_0(x,y) = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{r(y)}\left(d_y\rho\right)(x,y) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\Theta}_1(x,y) = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{r(y)}\left(d_x\rho\right)(x,y)$$

and the left actions $\widetilde{\psi}_0$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_1$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\widetilde{\psi}_0(f\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\eta)(g\hat{\otimes}\xi)\right)(x,y) = f(x)g(x,y)\hat{\otimes}\eta(x)\xi(x) \quad \text{and} \\ &\left(\widetilde{\psi}_1(f\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\eta)(g\hat{\otimes}\xi)\right)(x,y) = f(y)g(x,y)\hat{\otimes}\varphi_{y,x,1}^{-1}(\eta(y))\xi(x), \end{aligned}$$

for $f\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\eta \in C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\Gamma_0(E)$, $g\hat{\otimes}\xi \in C_\tau(\widetilde{U})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X),p_0}\Gamma_0(E)$, where we have carefully evaluated the isomorphism Ψ on the fibres over $x,y \in U$. Thus we see that evaluation at 1 yields the element $\Sigma_*\left(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\Theta_E)\right)$ and evaluation at 0 gives an element which differs from $\sigma_{X,\Gamma_0(E)}(\Theta_X)$ only by the fact that U is replaced by $\widetilde{U}$ and that $\Theta(x,y) = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{r(x)}\left(d_y\rho\right)(x,y)$ is replaced by $\widetilde{\Theta}_0$ as described above. If $r(x) = \eta$ is constant (which we may always assume if X is G-compact), then $U = \widetilde{U}$ and $r(x) = r(y)$ for all $(x,y) \in U$ and we are done. Otherwise, similar to the second part of the proof of [15, Lemma 4.6] we deform U into $\widetilde{U}$ and Θ into $\widetilde{\Theta}_0$ to finish the proof. $\square$

We are finally ready for

Proof of Theorem 1.13. We have to show that the maps μ and ν of Theorem 1.13 are inverse to each other.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu\circ\mu)(\alpha) &= [\Theta_E]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\sigma_{C_0(X)}\left(\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau(X)}[d_X]\right)\\
&= \Big([\Theta_E]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\sigma_{C_0(X)}\sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}(\alpha)\Big)\,\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)}\sigma_{C_0(X)}[d_X]\\
&= \left(\alpha\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}[\Theta_X]\right)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)}\sigma_{C_0(X)}[d_X] \qquad \text{by Lemma 1.14}\\
&= \alpha\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\Big([\Theta_X]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)}\sigma_{C_0(X)}[d_X]\Big)\\
&= \alpha \qquad \text{by Lemma 4.8 in [15].}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu\circ\nu)(\beta) &= \sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}\Big([\Theta_E]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\sigma_{C_0(X)}(\beta)\Big)\,\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau(X)}[d_X]\\
&= \sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}[\Theta_E]\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\left(\sigma_{C_\tau(X)}(\beta)\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau(X)}[d_X]\right)\\
&= \sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}[\Theta_E]\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\left(\beta\hat{\otimes}[d_X]\right)\\
&= \sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}[\Theta_E]\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\left([d_X]\hat{\otimes}\beta\right)\\
&= \sigma_{X,C_\tau(X)}[\Theta_E]\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau\hat{\otimes}\Gamma_\tau(E)}\left(\sigma_{\Gamma_\tau(E)}[d_X]\hat{\otimes}_{\Gamma_\tau(E)}\beta\right)\\
&= \Big(\sigma_{X,\Gamma_\tau(E)}[\Theta_X]\hat{\otimes}_{\Gamma_\tau(E)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)}\sigma_{\Gamma_\tau(E)}[d_X]\Big)\,\hat{\otimes}_{\Gamma_\tau(E)}\beta \qquad \text{by Lemma 1.15}\\
&= \sigma_{X,\Gamma_\tau(E)}\Big([\Theta_X]\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)\hat{\otimes}C_\tau(X)}\sigma_{C_0(X)}[d_X]\Big)\,\hat{\otimes}_{\Gamma_\tau(E)}\beta\\
&= \beta \qquad \text{by Lemma 4.8 in [15].}
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

2. POINCARÉ-DUALITY FOR CROSSED PRODUCTS BY PROPER ACTIONS

Suppose that G is a locally compact group which acts on the locally compact space X. The G-equivariant Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ of X in the sense of [5] consists of $X\rtimes G$-equivariant Morita equivalence classes of continuous-trace C*-algebras A with base X and which are equipped with an action of G which covers the given action on X. The group operation in $\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ is given by taking tensor products over X and diagonal actions. We shall write δ for a class in $\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$, and we shall denote by $C_0(X,\delta)$ a representative of the corresponding continuous trace algebra equipped with the appropriate action of G. Moreover, we write $C_0(X,\delta^{-1})$ for a representative of the inverse $\delta^{-1}\in\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$, and we write $C_0(X,\delta\cdot\mu)$ for a representative of the product $\delta\cdot\mu\in\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ if $\delta,\mu\in\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$. In this notation we have (up to $X\rtimes G$-equivariant Morita equivalence):

$$C_0(X,\delta)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_0(X,\mu)=C_0(X,\delta\cdot\mu)\quad\text{and}\quad C_0(X,\delta)\hat{\otimes}C_0(X,\delta^{-1})=C_0(X), \tag{2.1}$$

as $X\rtimes G$-algebras.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(X)$, then for every pair of $X \rtimes G$-algebras A and B, tensoring over $C_0(X)$ with $C_0(X,\delta)$ gives an isomorphism*

$$\sigma_{X,C_0(X,\delta)} : \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;A,B) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G\big(X; C_0(X,\delta)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}A, C_0(X,\delta)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}B\big).$$

In particular, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G\big(X; C_0(X,\delta)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}A, B\big) \cong \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G\big(X; A, C_0(X,\delta^{-1})\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}B\big).$$

Proof. By (2.1) it is instantly clear that $\sigma_{X,C_0(X,\delta^{-1})}$ is an inverse to $\sigma_{X,C_0(X,\delta)}$. The second isomorphism follows easily from the first. □

If X is a G-manifold, then we shall say that a class $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ is *smooth*, if it can be represented by a smooth G-equivariant locally trivial bundle $p : E \to X$ of elementary C*-algebras equipped with a smooth action of G. Moreover, throughout this section we shall use the notation $C_0(X, \delta\cdot\tau) := C_0(X,\delta)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_\tau(X)$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.13 and the above lemma we obtain an equivariant version of Poincaré duality in twisted K-theory, i.e., for G-equivariant continuous-trace algebras over X.

Corollary 2.2. *Assume that G is a compact group, X is a compact G-manifold and $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ is smooth. Then, for all G-algebras A and B there are natural (in A and B) isomorphisms*

$$\Phi_{A,B} : \mathrm{KK}^G(C_0(X,\delta\cdot\tau)\hat{\otimes}A, B) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KK}^G(A, C_0(X,\delta^{-1})\hat{\otimes}B).$$

Proof. Note that with our notations, we have $\Gamma_0(E) = C_0(X,\delta)$ and $\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_\tau(X) = C_0(X,\delta\cdot\tau)$ if $p : E \to X$ is a smooth bundle representing δ. By Proposition 5.3 we know that $p : E \to X$ is feasible. Thus, by Theorem 1.13 and Lemma 2.1 we have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{KK}^G(C_0(X,\delta\cdot\tau)\hat{\otimes}A, B) &\cong \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; C_0(X,\delta)\hat{\otimes}A, C_0(X,B)) \\ &\cong \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; C_0(X,A), C_0(X,\delta^{-1})\hat{\otimes}B) \\ &= \mathrm{KK}^G(A, C_0(X,\delta^{-1})\hat{\otimes}B), \end{aligned}$$

where the last identification can be made because X is compact. □

Remark 2.3. As mentioned in the introduction, basically the same result (for compact Lie groups but without the smoothness assumption given above) was stated independently by Jean-Louis Tu in his recent preprint [26]. Although he does not make this explicit, his argument uses a certain locality condition for the bundle as spelled out in [2, §6].

We next recall the notion of Poincaré duality for C^*-algebras, see for example [7].

Definition 2.4. Let Λ and $\widehat{\Lambda}$ be graded C^*-algebras. Then Λ and $\widehat{\Lambda}$ are *Poincaré dual* if there exist classes $\Delta \in \mathrm{KK}(\Lambda\hat{\otimes}\widehat{\Lambda}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\widehat{\Delta} \in \mathrm{KK}(\mathbb{C}, \widehat{\Lambda}\hat{\otimes}\Lambda)$ such that

$$\widehat{\Delta}\hat{\otimes}_{\Lambda}\Delta = 1_{\widehat{\Lambda}}, \qquad \widehat{\Delta}\hat{\otimes}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}\Delta = 1_{\Lambda}. \tag{2.2}$$

Equivalently, we are given a system of isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{KK}(\Lambda\hat{\otimes}A, B) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KK}(A, \widehat{\Lambda}\hat{\otimes}B)$$

natural with respect to intersection and composition products.

Remark 2.5. Note that when we say Λ and $\widehat{\Lambda}$ are *Poincaré dual*, we already implicitly used the fact that Poincaré duality is symmetric. Indeed one can show that $\Delta' := \Sigma^*(\Delta) \in \mathrm{KK}(\widehat{\Lambda}\hat{\otimes}\Lambda, \mathbb{C})$ and $\widehat{\Delta}' := \Sigma_*(\widehat{\Delta}) \in \mathrm{KK}(\mathbb{C}, \Lambda\hat{\otimes}\widehat{\Lambda})$ satisfy Equation (2.2), where $\Sigma : \widehat{\Lambda}\hat{\otimes}\Lambda \longrightarrow \Lambda\hat{\otimes}\widehat{\Lambda}$ is the flip isomorphism. Likewise the equivariant Poincaré duality (i.e., the above definition with the functor KK replaced by KK^G) is also symmetric.

We now consider the case where a *discrete* group G acts *properly* on the G-manifold X, and that, in addition, $G\backslash X$ is compact. Then, if $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ is smooth, we will show that the algebras $C_0(X, \delta \cdot \tau) \rtimes G$ and $C_0(X, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G$ are Poincaré dual. For this we need some additional tools. The following lemma is a part of the proof of [16, Theorem 5.4] (a generalization to a much weaker notion of proper actions is given by Ralf Meyer in [18]):

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that X is a proper G-space and that D is a $X \rtimes G$-algebra. Then there is a natural equivalence between the category of G-equivariant Hilbert D-modules and the category of Hilbert $D \rtimes G$-modules sending a Hilbert D-module $\mathcal{E}$ to the Hilbert $D \rtimes G$-module $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ constructed as follows: Write $\mathcal{E}_c := C_c(X) \cdot \mathcal{E}$ ($:= \mathcal{E} \cdot C_c(X)$ with right action of $C_c(X)$ on $\mathcal{E}$ given via the right action of D and the structure map $C_0(X) \to ZM(D)$). Then for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_c$ and $f \in C_c(G, D) \subseteq D \rtimes G$ define a $C_c(G, D)$-valued inner product and a right module action of $C_c(G, D)$ on $\mathcal{E}_c$ by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{C_c(G,D)}(s) &= \big(s \mapsto \sqrt{\Delta_G(s^{-1})}\langle \xi, \gamma_s(\eta)\rangle_D\big) \qquad \text{and} \\ \xi \cdot f &= \int_G \sqrt{\Delta_G(s^{-1})}\gamma_s(\xi \cdot f(s^{-1}))\, ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\gamma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the given action on $\mathcal{E}$. Then $\mathcal{E}_c$ completes to the corresponding Hilbert $D \rtimes G$-module $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$. The algebra of adjointable operators $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})$ is then isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^G$ of G-invariant operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\widetilde{E})$ coincides with the generalized fixed-point algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})^G$ in the sense of [15, Section 3].

Remark 2.7. In the special case where $D = C_0(X)$ and where $\mathcal{E} = C_0(X)$ is viewed as a $C_0(X)$-module in the canonical way, the above lemma gives a corresponding Hilbert $C_0(X) \rtimes G$-module $\mathcal{E}_X := \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} = \overline{C_c(X)}$. In this case $C_0(G\backslash X)$ is the generalized fixed-point algebra of $C_0(X) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$, and hence we have $C_0(G\backslash X) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}_X)$. The pair $(\mathcal{E}_X, 0)$ determines a canonical class $\Lambda_X \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}(G\backslash X; C_0(G\backslash X), C_0(X) \rtimes G)$ and, if $G\backslash X$ is compact, a corresponding class $\lambda_X \in \mathrm{KK}(\mathbb{C}, C_0(X) \rtimes G)$. The class Λ_X (resp. λ_X) is often called the *Mishchenko line bundle for X*.

The next result is a slight extension of a result of Kasparov and Skandalis ([16, Theorem 5.4]) which treats the case where $A = \mathbb{C}$. The case where A is arbitrary and $D = C_0(X, B)$ for some G-algebra B was treated by Emerson and Meyer (see [9, Lemma 20]). Since the proof is a direct extension of the proofs of those special cases, we restrict ourselves to explain the basic steps:

Theorem 2.8 (cf. [16, Theorem 5.4] and [9, Lemma 20])**.** *Suppose that G is a locally compact group and X is a proper G-compact G-space. Suppose further that A is a C^*-algebra equipped with the trivial G-action and that D is an $X \rtimes G$-algebra. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\Theta_{A,D} : \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; C_0(X, A), D) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KK}(A, D \rtimes G).$$

given by the composition

$$\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; C_0(X,A), D) \xrightarrow{J_G} \mathrm{KK}\left((C_0(X) \rtimes G)\hat{\otimes} A, D \rtimes G\right) \overset{\sigma_A(\lambda_X)\hat{\otimes}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{KK}(A, D \rtimes G),$$

where J_G denotes Kasparov descent morphism (and using the fact that $C_0(X,A) \rtimes G \cong (C_0(X) \rtimes G)\hat{\otimes}A$, since G acts trivially on A), and $\lambda_X \in K_0(C_0(X) \rtimes G)$ is the Mishchenko line bundle for X.

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{E}, T)$ be a cycle for $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; C_0(X,A), D)$. Consider the operator $\widetilde{T} = \int_G s(cT)\, ds$, where $c : X \to [0,\infty)$ is any compactly supported continuous function on X such that $\int_G c(s^{-1}x)\, ds = 1$ for all $x \in X$ (the arguments given in the proof of [15, Theorem 3.4] show that the $t \mapsto T + t(\tilde{T} - T)$ gives an operator homotopy between T and $\widetilde{T}$, so that $[\mathcal{E}, \pi, T)] = [(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \widetilde{T})]$). There is a canonical pairing $C_c(X,A) \times \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}_c$ which integrates to a map $C_c(X,A) \odot C_c(G, \mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E}_c$ given by

$$\xi\hat{\otimes}g \mapsto F_{\xi,g} := \int_G \sqrt{\Delta_G(s^{-1})}s^{-1} \cdot (\xi \cdot (g(s)))\, ds$$

A straightforward computation shows that this map preserves the inner products and the right actions of $C_c(G,D)$, so that it extends to an isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{E}_X\hat{\otimes}A)\hat{\otimes}_{(C_0(X)\rtimes G)\hat{\otimes}A}\mathcal{E} \rtimes G \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}},$$

with $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ as in Lemma 2.6. We may then proceed precisely as in the proof of [16, Theorem 5.4] to see that $[(\mathcal{E}, T)] \to [(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}, \widetilde{T})]$ defines an isomorphism from $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; C_0(X,A), D)$ to $\mathrm{KK}(A, D \rtimes G)$, which coincides with the map as given in the theorem. ☐

Combining this theorem with Theorem 1.13 we are now able to prove:

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that G is a discrete group acting properly on the G-manifold X such that $G\backslash X$ is compact and assume that $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ is smooth. Then $C_0(X, \delta\cdot\tau) \rtimes G$ and $C_0(X, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G$ are Poincaré dual.*

If, in addition, X is equipped with a G-equivariant spin^c structure, then there is a Poincaré duality between $C_0(X,\delta) \rtimes G$ and $C_0(X,\delta^{-1}) \rtimes G$ of degree $\dim(X)$ *mod* 2, *i.e., up to a dimension shift of order* $\dim(X)$ *mod* 2.

We show this using the natural isomorphism version of the definition: that is, by showing existence of a natural system of isomorphisms

$$\Phi_{A,B} : \mathrm{KK}\left((C_0(X, \delta\cdot\tau) \rtimes G)\hat{\otimes}A, B\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KK}(A, (C_0(X,\delta^{-1}) \rtimes G)\hat{\otimes}B),$$

one for each A, B.

Proof. We define the map $\Phi_{A,B}$ as a composition

$$\Phi_{A,B} := E_{A,B} \circ F_{A,B} \circ G_{A,B} \circ H_{A,B}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$H_{A,B} : \mathrm{KK}\left((C_0(X,\delta\cdot\tau) \rtimes G)\hat{\otimes}A, B\right) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{KK}^G(C_0(X,\delta\cdot\tau)\hat{\otimes}A, B)$$

is the canonical isomorphism due to discreteness of G. The map

$$G_{A,B} : \mathrm{KK}^G(C_0(X,\delta\cdot\tau)\hat{\otimes}A, B) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; C_0(X,\delta)\hat{\otimes}A, C_0(X,B))$$

is the inverse of the isomorphism of Theorem 1.13. The third map

$$F_{A,B}:\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;C_0(X,\delta)\hat{\otimes}A,C_0(X,B))\to\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;C_0(X,A),C_0(X,\delta^{-1})\hat{\otimes}B)$$

is the isomorphism of Lemma 2.1. Finally, the map

$$E_{A,B}:\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;C_0(X,A),C_0(X,\delta^{-1})\hat{\otimes}B)\to\mathrm{KK}\left(A,(C_0(X,\delta^{-1})\rtimes G)\hat{\otimes}B\right)$$

is the map of Theorem 2.8 combined with the canonical isomorphism

$$(C_0(X,\delta^{-1})\hat{\otimes}B)\rtimes G\cong(C_0(X,\delta^{-1})\rtimes G)\hat{\otimes}B$$

which results from the fact that G acts trivially on B. It follows from the general properties of Kasparov products as outlined in [15] that all maps above are natural in A and B with respect to taking Kasparov products with elements in $\mathrm{KK}(A',A)$ or $\mathrm{KK}(B,B')$, respectively. They are also natural with respect of taking external Kasparov products (i.e. Kasparov products over $\mathbb{C}$ with elements in $\mathrm{KK}(A',B')$).

The final assertion now follows from the fact that existence of a G-equivariant spin^c structure implies the existence of a $X\rtimes G$-equivariant Morita equivalence

$$C_\tau(X)\sim_M C_0(X)\quad(\text{resp. } C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}Cl_1\sim_M C_0(X)),$$

if X has even (resp. odd) dimension (where Cl_1 denotes the first Clifford algebra equipped with the trivial G-action). □

Remark 2.10. The above theorem can easily be extended to the following more general situation: Assume as in the theorem that G is discrete and that X is a proper G-manifold such that $G\backslash X$ is compact. Assume further that $p:E\to X$ is a feasible locally trivial bundle of C*-algebras over X (where we do **not** assume that the fibres are elementary C*-algebras) such that there exists a $C_0(X)$-algebra $\Gamma_0(\bar{E})$ (this is just convenient notation—we do not assume that $\bar{E}$ is a locally trivial or even continuous bundle of C*-algebras over X) which is inverse to $\Gamma_0(E)$ in $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\cdot,\cdot)$, i.e., we have

$$\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}\Gamma_0(\bar{E})\sim_{\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G}C_0(X).$$

Then replacing $C_0(X,\delta\cdot\tau)$ by $\Gamma_\tau(E):=\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_\tau(X)$ and $C_0(X,\delta^{-1})$ by $\Gamma_0(\bar{E})$ in the proof of the above theorem will show that $\Gamma_\tau(E)\rtimes G$ is Poincaré dual to $\Gamma_0(\bar{E})\rtimes G$.

3. Some Applications

Throughout this section we want to assume that G is a discrete group such that there exists a G-manifold X which satisfies the following axioms:

(A1) G acts properly on X and $G\backslash X$ is compact.
(A2) X is a special G-manifold as defined in [15, Definition 5.1].
(A3) X is G-equivariantly spin^c.

Notice that (A2) implies the existence of an element $\eta_X\in\mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C},C_\tau(X))$, unique under the conditions spelled out in [15, Definition 5.1], which is dual to the Dirac element $d_X\in\mathrm{KK}^G(C_\tau(X),\mathbb{C})$ in the sense that

$$d_X\hat{\otimes}\eta_X=1_{C_\tau(X)}\in\mathrm{KK}^G(C_\tau(X),C_\tau(X)).\tag{3.1}$$

The reverse product

$$\gamma_G=\eta_X\hat{\otimes}_{C_\tau(X)}d_X\in\mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$$

is then independent of the special choice of X, and it is called the *γ-element* of G. We extend the above list of axioms by

(A4) $\gamma_G = 1 \in \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$

Any cocompact discrete subgroup G of an (almost) connected group L satisfies axioms (A1) and (A2) with $X = L/K$, the quotient of L by the maximal compact subgroup K equipped with the canonical L-equivariant metric. Notice that in this case, modulo passing to a double cover $\widetilde{L}$ of L, which, unfortunately, we have to pay by passing also to a double cover $\widetilde{G}$ of our subgroup $G \subseteq L$, the spin^c axiom (A3) can always be arranged. However, Axiom (A4) imposes a more severe restriction on the groups, but it applies to all amenable (or, more generally, a-T-menable) groups G (see [10]).

If $\gamma_G = 1$, it follows that $C_\tau(X)$ is KK^G-equivalent to $\mathbb{C}$, which then implies via Kasparov's descent homomorphism that

$$(A\hat{\otimes} C_\tau(X)) \rtimes_{(r)} G \sim_{\mathrm{KK}} A \rtimes_{(r)} G \tag{3.2}$$

for the full and reduced crossed products of $A\hat{\otimes} C_\tau(X) \sim_{\mathrm{KK}^G} A$ by G and for any G-C*-algebra A. Since G acts properly on X, we have $(A\hat{\otimes} C_\tau(X)) \rtimes G \cong (A\hat{\otimes} C_\tau(X)) \rtimes_r G$ by [15, Theorem 3.13], and hence we see that G is KK-amenable in the sense that $A \rtimes G \sim_{\mathrm{KK}} A \rtimes_r G$ via the quotient map $A \rtimes G \to A \rtimes_r G$.

We use these observations to prove

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that G satisfies Axioms (A1), (A2), (A3) and (A4). Assume further that M is a compact G-manifold and that $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(M)$ is smooth. Then $C(M, \delta \cdot \tau) \rtimes G$ and $C(M, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G$ are Poincaré dual of degree* $\dim(X) \bmod 2$.

If, in addition, M has a G-equivariant spin^c-structure, then $C(M, \delta) \rtimes G$ is Poincaré dual to $C(M, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G$ of degree $\dim(X) + \dim(M) \bmod 2$.

Remark 3.2. In Theorem 3.5 below we shall relax considerably the condition (A4) used above. However, in that theorem we have to give an extra spin^c assumption which we can avoid in Theorem 3.1 above.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Axiom (A3) we know that $C_\tau(X)$ (resp. $C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes} Cl_1$ if $\dim(X)$ is odd) is $X \rtimes G$-equivariantly Morita equivalent to $C_0(X)$. We therefore obtain a KK^G-equivalence of degree $\dim(X) \bmod 2$ as in (3.2) with $C_\tau(X)$ replaced by $C_0(X)$. Moreover, by the general properties of Clifford-bundles we have $C_\tau(X \times M) \cong C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes} C_\tau(M)$. Assume now that $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(M)$ is smooth and let $p : X \times M \to M$ denote the canonical projection. Then $C_0(X \times M, p^*\delta) = C_0(X)\hat{\otimes} C(M, \delta)$ and $C_0(X \times M, p^*\delta \cdot \tau) = C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes} C(M, \delta \cdot \tau)$, where we use the notation of Theorem 2.9. Thus, (3.2) and its variant for $C_0(X)$ imply that

$$C_0(X \times M, p^*\delta \cdot \tau) \rtimes G \sim_{\mathrm{KK}} C(M, \delta \cdot \tau) \rtimes G \quad \text{and} \quad C_0(X \times M, p^*\delta^{-1}) \rtimes G \sim_{\mathrm{KK}} C(M, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G,$$

where the latter is of degree $\dim(X) \bmod 2$. Theorem 2.9 applied to the smooth class $p^*\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(X \times M)$ with inverse $(p^*\delta)^{-1} = p^*(\delta^{-1})$ provides a Poincaré duality between $C_0(X \times M, p^*\delta \cdot \tau) \rtimes G$ and $C_0(X \times M, p^*\delta^{-1}) \rtimes G$ which, by KK-equivalence, implies duality of degree $\dim(X) \bmod 2$ between $C(M, \delta \cdot \tau) \rtimes G$ and $C(M, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G$.

The statement for the case where M is also spin^c is now obvious. $\square$

In what follows next, we want to specialize the above result to the case where $M = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$. In that case the algebra $C(M, \delta)$ will just be the algebra of compact operators on some Hilbert space equipped with an action of G. Such actions are classified up to Morita equivalence by the second group cohomology $H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ with coefficient the circle group $\mathbb{T}$ considered as trivial G-module. To be more precise, if $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(H)$ and $\alpha : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ is given, one can choose a map $V : G \to \mathcal{U}(H)$ such that $\alpha_s = \mathrm{Ad}\, V_s$ for all $s \in G$. Then there is a cocycle $\omega_\alpha \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ determined by the equation

$$V_s V_t = \omega_\alpha(s,t) V_{st} \quad \text{for all } s,t \in G \tag{3.3}$$

and $[\alpha] \mapsto [\omega_\alpha]; \mathrm{Br}_G(\mathrm{pt}) \to H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ is an isomorphism of groups (e.g. see [5]).

On the other hand, given $\omega \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, then we can construct full and reduced twisted group algebras $C^*(G, \omega)$ and $C^*_r(G, \omega)$ as follows: The twisted convolution algebra $\ell^1(G, \omega)$ is defined as the vector space of all summable complex functions on G with convolution and involution given by

$$\begin{aligned} f *_\omega g(s) &:= \sum_{t \in G} f(t) g(t^{-1}s) \omega(t, t^{-1}s) \\ f^*(s) &:= \overline{\omega(s, s^{-1}) f(s^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

If $V : G \to U(H)$ is any ω-representation of G, i.e., V satisfies equation (3.3), then V determines a $*$-homomorphism $\tilde{V}$ of $\ell^1(G, \omega)$ into $B(H)$ via the formula

$$\tilde{V}(f) := \sum_{s \in G} f(s) V(s), \quad f \in \ell^1(G, \omega),$$

and every nondegenerate $*$-representation of $\ell^1(G, \omega)$ appears in this way. The full twisted group algebra $C^*(G, \omega)$ is defined as the enveloping C^*-algebra of $\ell^1(G, \omega)$ and the reduced twisted group algebra $C^*_r(G, \omega)$ is obtained from the regular ω-representation $L_\omega : G \longrightarrow U(\ell^2(G))$ given by

$$\left(L_\omega(s)\xi\right)(t) := \omega(s, s^{-1}t)\xi(s^{-1}t), \ \xi \in \ell^2(G), \ s, t \in G.$$

Notice that the isomorphism classes of $C^*_{(r)}(G, \omega)$ only depend on the class $[\omega] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$!

Assume now that V is any $\bar{\omega}$-representation on some Hilbert space H, where $\bar{\omega}$ denotes the *inverse* of the cocycle ω and let $\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}\, V : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{K}(H))$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K} \hat{\otimes} C^*_{(r)}(G, \omega) \cong \mathcal{K} \rtimes_{\alpha,(r)} G \quad \text{with } (\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(H)) \tag{3.4}$$

for the full and reduced crossed products, given on the level of ℓ^1-algebras by the formula $k \hat{\otimes} f \mapsto (s \mapsto f(s) k V_s^*)$ (this can be regarded as a very special case of the stabilization theorem of [21]). Using all this, we get as a special case of Theorem 3.1

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that G satisfies the axioms (A1), (A2), (A3) and (A4). Then, for each $\omega \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, $C^*(G, \bar{\omega})$ and $C^*(G, \omega)$ are Poincaré dual of degree $j = \dim(X) \bmod 2$.*

Proof. Theorem 3.1 applied to $M = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ provides a Poincaré duality for $\mathcal{K} \rtimes_{\alpha_\omega} G$ and $\mathcal{K} \rtimes_{\alpha_{\omega^{-1}}} G$, where we denote by α_ω an action corresponding to the class $[\omega] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ as explained above. The result then follows from (3.4). $\square$

Note that in case $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$ the above theorem implies the well known self dualities for the non-commutative n-tori, which are just the twisted group algebras $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^n, \omega)$ (in this case there is a canonical isomorphism $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^n, \omega) \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}^n, \bar{\omega})$).

Remark 3.4. Since the assumption made on G in Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 imply K-amenability of G, it is clear that the full crossed products (resp. twisted group algebras) in the statements of these theorems can be replaced by the appropriate reduced crossed products (resp. twisted group algebras).

We want to finish this section by a discussion on how one could relax the assumptions in Theorem 3.1. In particular we would like to get rid of the relatively strong assumption (A4). So let us assume that G satisfies axioms (A1), (A2), and (A3). The idea is to replace Axiom (A4) by the considerably weaker assumption that the γ-element $\gamma_{M \rtimes G}$ of the groupoid $M \rtimes G$ is equal to $1_{C(M)} \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(M; C(M), C(M))$ $(= \mathrm{KK}^{M \rtimes G}(C(M), C(M)))$ in the sense of Tu (see [24, Section 5.2]).

Note that by the main result of [25], this is always true if G acts amenably on M. But in order to get really more general results, we should **not** assume that M admits a G-invariant metric, since this together with amenability of the action of G on M would imply amenability of G.

By the properties of the γ-element, as formulated in [24, Proposition 5.20], one easily checks that $\gamma_{M \rtimes G} = \sigma_{C(M)}(\gamma_G)$, and hence the Dirac and dual-Dirac elements for $M \rtimes G$ are given by $d_{M \rtimes G} = \sigma_{C(M)}(d_X)$ and $\eta_{M \rtimes G} := \sigma_{C(M)}(\eta_X)$, with d_X, η_X as in the previous discussions. By assumption we have

$$\eta_{M \rtimes G} \otimes d_{M \rtimes G} = \gamma_{M \rtimes G} = 1_{C(M)} \in \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(M; C(M), C(M)).$$

Hence, if $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(M)$ is any element in the equivariant Brauer group of M, then tensoring everything above with $C(M, \delta)$ over $C(M)$ provides a $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G$-, and hence also a KK^G-equivalence $\tilde{D} := \sigma_{M, C(M,\delta)}(d_{M \rtimes G})$ between $C_0(X \times M, p^*(\delta))$ and $C(M, \delta)$, and hence KK-equivalence between the crossed products $C_0(X \times M, p^*(\delta)) \rtimes G$ and $C(M, \delta) \rtimes G$, where $p : X \times M \to M$ denotes the projection. Note that, since full and reduced crossed products coincide for proper actions, we may replace $C(M, \delta) \rtimes G$ by the reduced crossed product $C(M, \delta) \rtimes_r G$ if we wish.

Since G acts properly on $X \times M$ we may choose a G-invariant metric on $X \times M$. We assume that this metric admits a G-invariant spin^c-structure and also assume that $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(M)$ is smooth. Then we may apply Theorem 2.9 to obtain a KK-theoretic Poincaré duality between $C_0(X \times M, p^*(\delta)) \rtimes G$ and $C_0(X \times M, p^*(\delta)^{-1}) \rtimes G$, which by the above observed KK-equivalences provides Poincaré dualities for $C(M, \delta) \rtimes_{(r)} G$ and $C(M, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes_{(r)} G$ where the subscript (r) indicates that we may take maximal or reduced crossed products at any side as we wish!

So, putting things together, we obtain

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that G satisfies axioms (A1), (A2), (A3) above with respect to the proper G-manifold X. Assume that M is any compact G-manifold (we* **do not** *assume that G acts isometrically on M) such that the γ-element of the groupoid $M \rtimes G$ in the sense of Tu (see* [24]*) is equal to $1_{C(M)}$ (which is automatic if G acts amenably on M by* [25]*). Assume further* *that $X \times M$ admits a G-equivariant spin^c-structure. Then, for any smooth class $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(M)$, there exists a* KK*-theoretic Poincaré duality for $C(M, \delta) \rtimes_{(r)} G$ and $C(M, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes_{(r)} G$.*

Example 3.6. Assume that L is a Lie group with finite component group such that L/K, the quotient by the maximal compact subgroup K of L, admits a L-equivariant spin^c-structure (see the discussion at the beginning of this section). Assume that G is a cocompact discrete subgroup of L. Then G satisfies Axioms (A1), (A2), (A3) with respect to the manifold $X = L/K$.

Let $P \subseteq L$ be any maximal parabolic subgroup. Then P is a closed connected solvable subgroup of L such that $M = L/P$ is a compact manifold on which L, and hence G, acts amenably (since P is amenable, see [1]).

So the action of G on M satisfies all requirements of the above theorem if the action of G on $L/K \times L/P$ admits an equivariant spin^c structure. This will certainly be true if $L/K \times L/P$ admits an L-invariant spin^c-structure, which easily follows if L/P has an equivariant spin^c-structure for the action of K.

4. Extension of Poincaré Duality to Non-G-Compact Manifolds

In this section we want to show how to extend our main result to the case of non-G-compact manifolds X. Recall that for a Hausdorff topological space X, the *K-homology of X with compact supports* is defined as $K_*^c(X) = \lim_Z K_*(Z)$, where Z runs through the compact subsets of X directed by inclusion. Here we want to consider a non-commutative variant of this. If G is a compact group, X a locally compact G-space, $p : E \to X$ a G-equivariant C*-algebra bundle over X, and B a G-algebra, we write

$$K^*_{c,G}(\Gamma_0(E); B) := \lim_{Z} \mathrm{KK}^G_*(\Gamma_0(E|_Z), B),$$

where Z runs through the G-invariant compact subsets of X and $E|_Z$ denotes the restriction of E to Z. We call $K^*_{c,G}(\Gamma_0(E); B)$ the *G-equivariant K-homology of $\Gamma_0(E)$ with compact support in X and coefficient B* and we simply write $K^*_{c,G}(\Gamma_0(E))$ if $B = \mathbb{C}$. In this section we shall prove

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a compact group which acts isometrically on the complete Riemannian manifold X. Let $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ be a smooth element (the smoothness assumption can be avoided if G is the trivial group). Then, for any G-algebra B, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$K^*_{c,G}(C_0(X, \delta^{-1}); B) \cong K^G_*(C_0(X, \delta \cdot \tau) \hat{\otimes} B).$$

In particular, for $B = \mathbb{C}$ we obtain an isomorphism

$$K^*_{c,G}(C_0(X, \delta^{-1})) \cong K^G_*(C_0(X, \delta \cdot \tau))$$

.

Remark 4.2. We should point out that the above result is symmetric in the sense that one can switch δ and δ^{-1} in the formula (which is trivial), but one can also move the Clifford-bundle to the other side, so that the first isomorphism becomes $K^*_{c,G}(C_0(X, \delta^{-1} \cdot \tau); B) \cong K^G_*(C_0(X, \delta) \hat{\otimes} B)$ (or $K^*_{c,G}(C_0(X, \delta \cdot \tau); B) \cong K^G_*(C_0(X, \delta^{-1}) \hat{\otimes} B)$.)

Another result of Poincaré duality which involves K-homology with compact supports is given for proper actions of discrete groups. In that case, if $p : E \to X$ is a C*-algebra bundle over X and B is any C*-algebra (with trivial G-action), then we put

$$K_c^*(\Gamma_0(E) \rtimes G; B) := \lim_{Z} \mathrm{KK}_*(\Gamma_0(E|_Z) \rtimes G, B),$$

where Z runs through the G-compact subsets of X. This is the K-homology of $\Gamma_0(E) \rtimes G$ with compact support in $G\backslash X$ and coefficient B (for the trivial group), if we consider $\Gamma_0(E) \rtimes G$ as the section algebra of a C*-algebra bundle over $G\backslash X$ (which one can do by [27]). We then extend Theorem 2.9 as follows:

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that G is a discrete group acting properly on the (complete) G-manifold X and assume that $\delta \in \mathrm{Br}_G(X)$ is smooth. Then for every C*-algebra B we get a natural isomorphism*

$$K_c^*(C_0(X, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G; B) \cong K_*(C_0(X, \delta \cdot \tau) \rtimes G \hat{\otimes} B).$$

in particular, for $B = \mathbb{C}$ we get $K_c^*(C_0(X, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G) \cong K_*(C_0(X, \delta \cdot \tau) \rtimes G)$.

Again, we should point out that one can move the symbol τ for the Clifford bundle to the other side. The idea of the proof is to use an exhaustive increasing sequence of open submanifolds X_n of X with G-compact closures $\bar{X}_n$ such that $\bar{X}_n$ is a manifold with boundary and then taking suitable limits over $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well-known to the experts that such a sequence exists, but we shall give a short argument below. We are grateful to Jörg Schürmann, for providing the details and references for this argument:

Proposition 4.4. *Let G be a locally compact group acting properly by diffeomorphisms on the second countable manifold X. Then the following are true:*

- (i) *X admits a G-equivariant Riemannian metric (that is, G acts isometrically on X with respect to this metric).*
- (ii) *There exists an increasing sequence of open subspaces $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of X such that $X = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$ and such that $\bar{X}_n$ is a G-compact manifold with boundary for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. The existence of a G-equivariant metric is shown in [22, Theorem 4.2.4]. This is all we have to get if X is G-compact. So assume from now on that X is not G-compact. In [22, Theorem 4.2.4] it is also shown that for every G-invariant open cover of X, there exists a partition of unity consisting of G-invariant differentiable maps subordinated to this cover. Since G acts properly on X, the quotient $G\backslash X$ is also a second countable locally compact Hausdorff space, and hence we can find an increasing sequence of open sets U_n in $G\backslash X$ with compact closures $\bar{U}_n$ which covers $G\backslash X$. Taking inverse images $W_n := q^{-1}(U_n) \subseteq X$, we can then find a partition of unity $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ subordinate to $(W_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with the above specified properties. Then

$$f : X \to [0, \infty); f(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} n \cdot f_n(x)$$

is a G-invariant differentiable map, which is *G-proper*, which means that inverses of compact sets in $\mathbb{R}$ are G-compact sets in X. By Sard's theorem, we find an increasing sequence $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of regular values for f such that $r_n \to \infty$ for $n \to \infty$. Since f is G-invariant, we can put $\bar{X}_n = f^{-1}([0, r_n])$ and the sequence $(\bar{X}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ then satisfies all requirements of (ii). □

Definition 4.5. A Riemannian manifold $(\bar{X}, g)$ with boundary ∂X and with isometric G-action by some locally compact group G, is said to be *decomposable near the boundary* if there exists a new Riemannian metric g' on $\bar{X}$ satisfying:

- G acts isometrically on $(\bar{X}, g')$.
- There exists a neighbourhood of ∂X in $(\bar{X}, g')$ which is isometric to $(0,1] \times \partial X$ equipped with the product metric of $g_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $g|_{\partial X}$.
- The action of G on $(0,1] \times \partial X$ is the product action, where G acts trivially on $(0,1]$.

Remark 4.6. Given two G-equivariant metrics g and g' on $\bar{X}$, the Euclidean bundle (TX, g) is G-equivariantly isomorphic to (TX, g'), which follows from the fact that the two inner products in T_xX differ by a completely positive transformation which depends smoothly on $x \in X$, and hence induces a bundle isomorphism. It follows directly from this that the Clifford bundle does not depend, up to G-isomorphism, on the given choice of a G-equivariant metric on $\bar{X}$.

Using standard methods from Differential Geometry, we can prove:

Proposition 4.7. *Let $(\bar{X}, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂X and with isometric G-action such that $G\backslash\bar{X}$ is compact. Then $(\bar{X}, g)$ is decomposable near the boundary.*

Proof. Define a local diffeomorphism $\phi : (-\infty, 0] \times \partial X \longrightarrow \bar{X};\ (x,t) \mapsto \operatorname{Exp}_x t\nu(x)$, where $\nu(x)$ is the normal vector at x to ∂X. Since $t \mapsto \operatorname{Exp}_x t\nu(x)$ is the unique path from x in the direction of $\nu(x)$, and since the given metric g on $\bar{X}$ is G-equivariant, this map is equivariant with respect to the product action on $(-\infty, 0] \times \partial X$ coming from the trivial action on $(-\infty, 0]$ and the given action on ∂X.

For each $x \in \partial X$ there exists an open neighbourhood W_x of x in ∂X and $\epsilon_x > 0$ such that $\phi|_{(-\epsilon_x, 0] \times W_x}$ is a diffeomorphism. Since ∂X is G-compact, there exist finitely many $W_{x_1}, \ldots, W_{x_n}$ such that $GW_{x_1} \cup \cdots \cup GW_{x_n} = \partial X$.

Let $K = \partial X \times \partial X \setminus \cup_{h \in G} \cup_{i=1}^{n} (hW_{x_i} \times hW_{x_i})$. Then for every $(x,y) \in K$, $\rho(x,y) > 0$ and, since K is G-compact, $\min_{(x,y) \in K} \rho(x,y) > 0$. Let

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\min_K \rho(x,y),\ \epsilon_{x_1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{x_n})\} > 0.$$

Then $\phi : (-\epsilon, 0] \times \partial X \to \bar{X}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image.

One can now construct a Riemannian metric g' on $\bar{X}$ with isometric G-action by a smooth convex combination such that the restriction of g' to $\bar{X} \backslash \phi((-\epsilon, 0] \times \partial X)$ is g and the restriction of g' to $\phi([-\frac{\epsilon}{2}, 0] \times \partial X)$ is the image of the product metric on $[-\frac{\epsilon}{2}, 0] \times \partial X$. Of course, after rescaling, we may replace $[-\frac{\epsilon}{2}, 0]$ by $[0,1]$.

□

If a Riemannian G-manifold $\bar{X}$ is decomposable near the boundary, we shall assume from now on that the metric is given as in Definition 4.5. We then extend the notion of decomposability near the boundary to C*-algebra bundles over $\bar{X}$ as follows:

Definition 4.8. Let $\bar{X}$ be a Riemannian G-manifold with boundary which is decomposable near the boundary. A locally trivial C*-algebra bundle $p : \bar{E} \to \bar{X}$ over $\bar{X}$ is said to be *decomposable near the boundary*, if the restriction of $\bar{E}$ to $[0,1] \times \partial X$ (which is the closure of

$(0,1]\times\partial X$ in $\bar{X}$) is G-equivariantly isomorphic to $[0,1]\times E_0$, where E_0 denotes the restriction of $\bar{E}$ to $\{0\}\times\partial X$.

As in §1 for the question of feasibility, it follows from the general homotopy invariance of fibre bundles (see [11]) that every locally trivial C*-algebra bundle $\bar{E}$ over $\bar{X}$ is decomposable near the boundary if G is the trivial group. Moreover, as in Proposition 5.3 for feasible bundles, we may conclude that $p:\bar{E}\to\bar{X}$ is decomposable near the boundary whenever it is smooth and admits a G-equivariant Hermitian connection. In particular, this holds whenever

- $\bar{E}$ is smooth and
- G is compact, or G is discrete and acts properly on $\bar{X}$.

Using this notation we get

Proposition 4.9. *Suppose that $p:\bar{E}\to\bar{X}$ is a bundle over the complete Riemannian G-manifold with boundary $\bar{X}$ such that $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{E}$ are decomposable near the boundary. Let X denote the interior of $\bar{X}$ and let E denote the restriction of $\bar{E}$ to X. Then*

$$\iota^*:\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(\bar{X};\Gamma_0(\bar{E})\otimes A, C_0(\bar{X},B))\to\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E)\otimes A, C_0(X,B))$$

is an isomorphism for all G-algebras A and B, where $\iota:X\to\bar{X}$ denotes inclusion.

For the proof we need

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that X and Y are locally compact G-spaces, $p:E\to X$ is a locally trivial C*-algebra bundle over X with action of G and $F:Y\times[0,1]\to X$ is a continuous map such that F^*E is G-equivariantly isomorphic to $F_0^*E\times[0,1]$ as bundles over $Y\times[0,1]$, where $F_t:Y\to X$ denotes the evaluation of F at t for all $t\in[0,1]$. Let*

$$\varphi:\Gamma_0(F_0^*E)\to\Gamma_0(F_1^*E)$$

denote the isomorphism induced from the isomorphism $F_1^*E\cong F_0^*E$ coming from the evaluation of the isomorphism $F^*E\cong F_0^*E\times[0,1]$ at $Y\times\{1\}$. Then

$$F_0^*(\alpha)=\varphi^*(F_1^*(\alpha))\in\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(Y;\Gamma_0(F_0^*E)\hat{\otimes}A, C_0(Y,B))$$

for all $\alpha\in\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}A, C_0(X,B))$.

Proof. Consider the element $F^*(\alpha)\in\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(Y\times[0,1];\Gamma_0(F^*E)\hat{\otimes}A, C_0(Y\times[0,1],B))$. Forget the $C[0,1]$-structure to view $F^*(\alpha)$ as an element of $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(Y;\Gamma_0(F^*E)\hat{\otimes}A, C_0(Y\times[0,1],B))$. The isomorphism $F^*E\cong F_0^*E\times[0,1]$ induces an isomorphism $\Psi:\Gamma_0(F^*E)\xrightarrow{\cong}\Gamma_0(F_0^*E)\hat{\otimes}C[0,1]$. We then get a G-equivariant and $C_0(Y)$-linear $*$-homomorphism

$$\psi:\Gamma_0(F_0^*E)\to\Gamma_0(F^*E);\quad \psi(\xi)=\Psi^{-1}(\xi\otimes 1)$$

such that φ is equal to the composition of ψ with evaluation $\mathrm{ev}_1:\Gamma_0(F^*E)\to\Gamma_0(F_1^*E)$. Hence, the element

$$\psi^*(F^*(\alpha))\in\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(Y;\Gamma_0(F_0^*E)\hat{\otimes}A, C_0(Y\times[0,1],B)).$$

gives a homotopy in $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(Y;\Gamma_0(F_0^*E)\hat{\otimes}A, C_0(Y,B))$ between $F_0^*(\alpha)$ and $\varphi^*(F_1^*(\alpha))$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.9. Let $\bar{X}_{\frac{1}{2}} := \bar{X} \setminus ((\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times \partial X)$. We then consider the composition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(\bar{X}; \Gamma_0(\bar{E}) \otimes A, C_0(\bar{X}, B)) \arrow[d, "\iota_1^*"'] \\
\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; \Gamma_0(E) \otimes A, C_0(X, B)) \arrow[d, "\iota_2^*"'] \\
\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(\bar{X}_{\frac{1}{2}}; \Gamma_0(\bar{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes A, C_0(\bar{X}_{\frac{1}{2}}, B)) \arrow[d, "\iota_3^*"'] \\
\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X_{\frac{1}{2}}; \Gamma_0(E_{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes A, C_0(X_{\frac{1}{2}}, B)),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $X_{\frac{1}{2}} = \tilde{X} \setminus ([\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times \partial X)$ denotes the interior of $\bar{X}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\bar{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $E_{\frac{1}{2}}$ denote the restrictions of $\bar{E}$ to $\bar{X}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $X_{\frac{1}{2}}$, respectively. We further let $\iota = \iota_1 : X \to \bar{X}$, $\iota_2 : \bar{X}_{\frac{1}{2}} \to X$, and $\iota_3 : X_{\frac{1}{2}} \to \bar{X}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ denote the inclusions. Let $\bar{h} : \bar{X} \to \bar{X}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ denote the homeomorphism defined by

$$\bar{h}(y) = y \quad \text{for } y \in \tilde{X} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{h}(t, x) = (\frac{t}{2}, x) \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \partial X.$$

It is clear that $\bar{h}$ restricts to a homeomorphism $h : X \to X_{\frac{1}{2}}$. The identity on $\bar{X}$ is homotopic to the composition $\bar{X} \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} \bar{X}_{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\iota_1 \circ \iota_2} \bar{X}$ by $\bar{F} : \bar{X} \times [0, 1] \to \bar{X}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}(y, s) &= y && \text{for all } (y, s) \in \tilde{X} \times [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \\ \bar{F}(t, x, s) &= (t - \frac{ts}{2}, x) && \text{for } (t, x, s) \in [0, 1] \times \partial X \times [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

The restriction of $\bar{F}$ to $X \times [0, 1]$ gives a homotopy $F : X \times [0, 1] \to X$ between the identity on X and the composition $X \xrightarrow{h} X_{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\iota_3 \circ \iota_2} X$. Since the bundle $p : \bar{E} \to \bar{X}$ is decomposable near the boundary ∂X, it is now easy to see that

$$\bar{F}^* \bar{E} \cong \bar{F}_0^* \bar{E} \times [0, 1] = \bar{E} \times [0, 1]$$

and similarly that $F^* E \cong E \times [0, 1]$. Indeed, since $\bar{F}_s$ is the identity on $\tilde{X} := \bar{X} \setminus ((0, 1] \times \partial X)$, this structure is clear on the restriction of the bundle to $\tilde{X} \times [0, 1]$, and on the remaining part $[0, 1] \times \partial X$ it follows from the decomposability of $\bar{E}$ near ∂X that the restriction of $\bar{F}^* \bar{E}$ to $[0, 1] \times \partial X \times [0, 1]$ is isomorphic to $[0, 1] \times E_0 \times [0, 1]$, which is the restriction of $\bar{E} \times [0, 1]$ to $[0, 1] \times \partial X \times [0, 1]$.

Using this we can now apply the above lemma to see that $\bar{h}^* \circ \iota_2^* \circ \iota_1^*$ is the identity on $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(\bar{X}; \Gamma_0(\bar{E}) \otimes A, C_0(\bar{X}, B))$, which proves that $\iota^* = \iota_1^*$ is injective. In a similar way we see that $h^* \circ \iota_3^* \circ \iota_2^*$ is the identity on $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; \Gamma_0(E) \otimes A, C_0(X, B))$. Moreover, $h^* : \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X_{\frac{1}{2}}; \Gamma_0(E_{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes A, C_0(X_{\frac{1}{2}}, B)) \to \mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X; \Gamma_0(E) \otimes A, C_0(X, B))$ is an isomorphism with inverse $(h^{-1})^*$, since $h : X \to X_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a homeomorphism. A similar statement holds for $\bar{h}^*$. Since $h^* \circ \iota_3^* \circ \iota_2^*$ is the identity we see that ι_3^* is surjective, and since $\iota = \iota_1 = \bar{h}^{-1} \circ \iota_3 \circ h$ it follows from this that ι^* is surjective, too. □

If $\bar{X}$ is a complete Riemannian manifold with interior X which is decomposable near the boundary ∂X, then it follows from the product structure $[0,1]\times\partial X$ near the boundary that the interior X of $\bar{X}$ can be made into a complete manifold without boundary by changing the metric on $[0,1)$ into a complete metric (i.e., via a suitable diffeomorphism $[0,1)\cong[0,\infty)$). Since the action of G on the interval is trivial, this change of metric is compatible with the G-action.

We say that a locally trivial C*-algebra bundle $p:\bar{E}\to\bar{X}$ is *feasible*, if its restriction to X is feasible in the sense of §1, where we regard X with the complete metric as discussed above. Using this notation and the above results together with Theorem 1.13 we get the following version for manifolds with boundary

Theorem 4.11. *Let G be a locally compact group and let $\bar{X}$ be a complete Riemannian G-manifold with boundary ∂X which is decomposable near the boundary. Let X denote the interior of $\bar{X}$ and suppose that $p:\bar{E}\to\bar{X}$ is a feasible locally trivial C^*-algebra bundle which is decomposable near ∂X. Then, for each pair of G-algebras A and B, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(\bar{X};\Gamma_0(\bar{E})\otimes A, C_0(\bar{X},B))\cong\mathrm{KK}^G(\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}A,B).$$

Remark 4.12. Recall from Proposition 4.7 that $\bar{X}$ is always decomposable near the boundary if $\bar{X}$ is G-compact. Recall also that by the results of §1 and the Appendix, the conditions on the bundle $p:\bar{E}\to\bar{X}$ are always satisfied if G is the trivial group and $\bar{E}$ is any locally trivial C*-algebra bundle over $\bar{X}$, or if G is compact or discrete and acts properly on $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{E}$ is a smooth G-bundle over $\bar{X}$ (which means in particular that the action of G on $\bar{E}$ is also smooth). In particular, if the compact or discrete group G acts properly on $\bar{X}$, the result applies for all smooth elements $\delta\in\mathrm{Br}_G(\bar{X})$. as introduced in §2.

Proof of Theorem 4.11. By Proposition 4.9 we get a natural isomorphism

$$\iota^*:\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(\bar{X};\Gamma_0(\bar{E})\otimes A, C_0(\bar{X},B))\to\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E)\otimes A, C_0(X,B))$$

and after changing the metric on X to make it complete, we can apply Theorem 1.13 to obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(X;\Gamma_0(E)\otimes A, C_0(X,B))\cong\mathrm{KK}^G(\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X)}C_\tau(X)\hat{\otimes}A,B).$$

This finishes the proof. □

Suppose now that X is a complete Riemannian manifold equipped with a *proper* isometric action of the locally compact group G. By Proposition 4.4 we can find an increasing sequence $(\bar{X}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ consisting of G-compact (hence complete) sub-manifolds with boundary such that $X=\cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}X_n$, where X_n denotes the interior of $\bar{X}_n$, and by Proposition 4.7 we know all $\bar{X}_n$ are decomposable near the boundary. Therefore we may apply Theorem 4.11 to obtain isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(\bar{X}_n;\Gamma_0(\bar{E})\otimes A, C_0(\bar{X}_n,B))\cong\mathrm{KK}^G(\Gamma_0(E)\hat{\otimes}_{C_0(X_n)}C_\tau(X_n)\hat{\otimes}A,B). \tag{4.1}$$

Let δ be a smooth element in $\mathrm{Br}_G(X)$. For all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we denote by $C_0(\bar{X}_n,\delta)$ the restriction of $C_0(X,\delta)$ to $\bar{X}_n$.

We are now ready to give

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $(\bar{X}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be as above. Apply Theorem 4.11 to $\Gamma_0(\bar{E}) = C_0(\bar{X}_n, \delta)$ for each n to get

$$\mathcal{R}\mathrm{KK}^G(\bar{X}_n; C_0(\bar{X}_n, \delta) \otimes A, C_0(\bar{X}_n, B)) \cong \mathrm{KK}^G(C_0(X_n, \delta \cdot \tau)\hat{\otimes} A, B).$$

By Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $\bar{X}_n$ is compact, we obtain

$$\mathrm{KK}^G(A, C_0(\bar{X}_n, \delta^{-1})\hat{\otimes} B) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KK}^G(C_0(X_n, \delta \cdot \tau)\hat{\otimes} A, B).$$

Using symmetry of Poincaré duality (Remark 2.5), one also has

$$\mathrm{KK}^G(C_0(\bar{X}_n, \delta^{-1})\hat{\otimes} A, B) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{KK}^G(A, C_0(X_n, \delta \cdot \tau)\hat{\otimes} B).$$

Putting $A = \mathbb{C}$ and passing to the direct limit over n, we get

$$\begin{aligned} K^*_{c,G}(C_0(X, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G;\, B) &= \lim_n \mathrm{KK}^G(C_0(\bar{X}_n, \delta^{-1}), B) \\ &= \lim_n \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, C_0(X_n, \delta \cdot \tau)\hat{\otimes} B) = K^G_*(C_0(X, \delta \cdot \tau)\hat{\otimes} B). \end{aligned}$$

□

In a similar way we get

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Applying the maps H, F, E in the proof of Theorem 2.9 and the isomorphism from Theorem 4.11 applied to $\Gamma_0(\bar{E}) = C_0(\bar{X}_n, \delta)$, we have the following isomorphism for every pair of algebras A and B with trivial G-action:

$$\mathrm{KK}(C_0(X_n, \delta \cdot \tau) \rtimes G \hat{\otimes} A,\, B) \cong \mathrm{KK}(A,\, C_0(\bar{X}_n, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G \hat{\otimes} B),$$

where $X_n = \mathrm{Int}(\bar{X}_n)$. By the symmetry of Poincaré duality (Remark 2.5), we can rewrite this into

$$\mathrm{KK}(C_0(\bar{X}_n, \delta^{-1}) \rtimes G \hat{\otimes} A,\, B) \cong \mathrm{KK}(A,\, C_0(X_n, \delta \cdot \tau) \rtimes G \hat{\otimes} B).$$

Putting $A = \mathbb{C}$ and passing to the direct limit over n, this completes the proof. □

Remark 4.13. Notice that in the above proofs it is crucial to restrict to the case $A = \mathbb{C}$ before taking the limits over n, since KK-theory is not continuous under taking direct limits.

5. Appendix

Definition 5.1. Let $p : E \to X$ be a locally trivial, smooth bundle of C*-algebras over a manifold X. Let $\Gamma^\infty(E)$ denote the algebra of smooth sections. By a (Hermitian) *connection* on E we will understand a map

$$\nabla\colon \Gamma^\infty(TX)\hat{\otimes}\Gamma^\infty(E) \to \Gamma^\infty(E)$$

satisfying

(i) $\nabla_{fV}(a) = f\nabla_V(a)$,
(ii) $\nabla_V(fa) = f\nabla_V(a) + V(f)\nabla_V(a)$,
(iii) $\nabla_V(ab) = a\nabla_V(b) + \nabla_V(a)b$.
(iv) $\nabla_V(a^*) = \nabla_V(a)^*$,

for all $f \in C^\infty(X), V \in \Gamma^\infty(TX)$ and $a, b \in \Gamma^\infty(E)$.

Hermitian connections on *trivial* bundles $E = X \times A$ always exist; for $p \in X$, $a \in C^\infty(X, A)$, define $\nabla_V(a) := \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} a\bigl(\gamma(t)\bigr)$ for $\gamma\colon (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to X$ a smooth integral curve for V. It is easy to check that the space of connections for E is convex. By a standard argument [17] it follows that a Hermitian connection exists for any locally trivial bundle E. Explicitly:

$$\nabla_V(s) = \sum_i \rho_i \nabla^i_V(s),$$

where $\{\rho_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a suitable partition of unity for X.

If X is G-manifold and E is a smooth G-equivariant bundle (which requires also that G acts via smooth automorphisms of the bundle), then G acts on the space of connections on E by $g\colon \nabla \mapsto \nabla^g$ with

$$\nabla^g_V(s) = g\bigl(\nabla_{g^{-1}V}(g^{-1}s)\bigr).$$

If G is *compact* then we may average an arbitrary Hermitian connection to obtain a G-invariant one.

If G is discrete and acts properly on X, then we can write X as the union of G-spaces U_i each G-isomorphic to $W_i \times_{H_i} G$, where H_i is a finite subgroup of G and W_i is an H_i-space. More precisely: W_i is an open subset of X, H_i leaves W_i invariant, and the G-saturation $G \cdot W_i$ is a disjoint union of open subsets parameterized by the cosets of G/H_i.

To obtain a G-invariant connection on E it therefore suffices by taking a G-invariant partition of unity subordinate to the cover $\{U_i\}$, to find a G-invariant Hermitian connection on $E|_{U_i}$ for each U_i. We may assume that W_i is chosen sufficiently small so that the restriction of E to W_i is trivializable; we may then fix a connection on the restriction of E to W_i. Furthermore, by averaging over the finite group H_i, we can assume that this connection is H_i-invariant. Denote it $\overline{\nabla}$. Fix now a component W_i' of U_i. There is then $g \in G$ such that $g(W_i) = W_i'$, and hence g maps the restriction of E to W_i isomorphically to the restriction of E to W_i'. We may use this isomorphism to construct a gH_ig^{-1}-invariant connection on $E|_{W_i'}$. Since $\overline{\nabla}$ was H_i-invariant, the choice of g is immaterial. In this way we obtain a G-invariant connection on $E|_{U_i}$ as required.

We have proved:

Lemma 5.2. *Let E be a locally trivial smooth G-bundle of C^*-algebras over a G-manifold X. Then, if either G is compact or discrete, there exists a G-invariant Hermitian connection on E.*

Any two G-invariant connections on E differ by a G-invariant bundle map $TX \xrightarrow{\omega} \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma^\infty(E))$. Since $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)b + a\omega(b)$, actually ω maps to the bundle $\mathrm{Der}(E)$ of derivations of E which are compatible with the adjoint operation: $\omega_V(a^*) = \omega_V(a)^*$. We emphasize that these derivations are *bounded*, by the closed graph theorem.

Suppose that E is as above and is equipped with a G-invariant connection ∇. Parallel sections a along a smooth path in X are defined as usual with connections. This gives rise in the usual fashion to the notion of parallel transport along a path. To show that parallel transport exists, along a path, it suffices to show this locally, since X is connected. Since we always have the trivial connection locally, we need to solve an ordinary differential equation of the form $a'(t) = \omega_V(t)a(t)$. It is obvious that a G-invariant connection gives rise to a G-invariant parallel transport operation, and furthermore that parallel transport respects the algebra multiplication: that is, if a and b are parallel sections along a path, then so is ab, because ∇ satisfies the Leibniz rule. So, putting everything together, we get

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that X is a G-manifold and $p : E \to X$ is a locally trivial smooth bundle of C^*-algebras over X. Suppose further that there exists a G-invariant Hermitian connection for E. Then E is feasible in the sense of §1. In particular, if G is compact or if G is discrete and acts properly on X, then every G-equivariant smooth locally trivial bundle of C^*-algebras over X is feasible.*

Proof. Let U and $P : U \times [0,1] \to X$ be as in Notations 1.5. For $(x, y) \in U$ let $\gamma_{x,y} : [0,1] \to X$ be the unique geodesic which joins x and y and let $\varphi_{x,y,t} : E_{\gamma_{x,y}(t)} \to E_x$ denote the inverse of the parallel transport $E_x \to E_{\gamma_{x,y}(t)}$ along the path $\gamma_{x,y}$. Then $\varphi : P^*E \to P_0^*E$ defined fibre wise by $\varphi_{x,y,t}$ is a G-equivariant bundle isomorphism. ☐

References

[1] C. Anantharaman Delaroche and J. Renault, *Amenable groupoids.* With a foreword by Georges Skandalis and Appendix B by E. Germain. Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique 36. L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 2000. 196 pp.

[2] M. Atiyah, G. Segal, *Twisted K-theory*, Ukr. Mat. Visn. 1 (2004), no. 3, 287–330.

[3] P. Baum, A. Connes and N. Higson, *Classifying space for proper actions and* K*-theory of group C^*-algebras*, Contemporary Mathematics, **167**, 241-291 (1994).

[4] J. Brodzki, V. Mathai, J. Rosenberg, and R. J. Szabo. *D-branes, RR-fields and duality on noncommutative manifolds.* Preprint: arXiv:hep-th/0607020 v1, 4 July 2006.

[5] D. Crocker, A. Kumjian, I. Raeburn, D.P. Williams. *An equivariant Brauer group and actions of groups on C^*-algebras.* J. Funct. Anal. **146** (1997), 151–184.

[6] S. Echterhoff and D. P. Williams. *Locally inner actions on $C_0(X)$-algebras.* J. Operator Theory 45 (2001), no. 1, 131–160.

[7] H. Emerson. *Noncommutative Poincaré duality for boundary actions of hyperbolic groups.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **564** (2003), 1–33.

[8] H. Emerson. *The Baum-Connes conjecture, noncommutative Poincaré duality, and the boundary of the free group.* Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **38** (2003), 2425–2445.

[9] H. Emerson and R. Meyer, *A descent principle for the Dirac dual Dirac method.* Topology **46** (2007), 185-209.

[10] N. Higson and G. Kasparov, *E-theory and* KK*-theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space*, Invent. Math. **144**, 23–74, (2001).

[11] D. Husemoller. Fibre bundles. Third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 20. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. xx+353 pp.

[12] J. Kaminker and I. Putnam. *K-theoretic duality of shifts of finite type.* Comm. Math. Phys. **187** (1997), no. 3, 509–522.

[13] G. Kasparov, *The operator K-functor and extensions of C^*-algebras.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 44 (1980), 571–636, 719.

[14] G. Kasparov, *K-theory, group C^*-algebras, and higher signatures (conspectus).* In: Novikov conjectures, index theorems and rigidity, Vol. 1 (Oberwolfach, 1993), 101–146, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 226, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[15] G. Kasparov, *Equivariant* KK*-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91**, 147-201 (1988).

[16] G. Kasparov and G. Skandalis. *Groups acting properly on "bolic" spaces and the Novikov conjecture.* Annals of Math. **158** (2003), 165–206.

[17] S. Kobayashi ans K. Nomizu. Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. II. Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York 1996.

[18] R. Meyer, *Generalized fixed-point algebras and square integrable representations.* J. Funct. Anal. **186**, (2001), 167–195.

[19] C.C. Moore, *Group extensions and cohomology for locally compact groups. III.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **221** (1976), 1–33.

[20] C. Müller and C. Wockel, *Equivalences of smooth and continuous principal bundles with infinite-dimensional structure groups.* Preprint, arXiv:math.DG/0604142v1 (6.April 2006).

[21] J. Packer and I. Raeburn, *Twisted crossed products of C^*-algebras.* Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **106** (1989), no. 2, 293–311

[22] M. J. Pflaum, *Analytic and geometric study of stratified spaces.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1768, Springer Verlag, 2000.

[23] I. Raeburn and D.-P. Williams. *Pull-backs of C^*-algebras and crossed products by certain diagonal actions.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **287** (1985), no. 2, 755–777.

[24] J.-L. Tu. *La conjecture de Novikov pour les fouilletages hyperboliques.* K-Theory **16** (1999), no. 2, 129–184.

[25] J.-L. Tu. *La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables.* K-Theory **17** (1999), no. 3, 215–264.

[26] J.-L. Tu. *Twisted K-theory and Poincaré duality.* Preprint (arXiv:math.KT/0609556v1, 20 Sep 2006).

[27] D. P. Williams. *The structure of crossed products by smooth actions.* J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 47 (1989), no. 2, 226–235.

Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Mathematisches Institut, Einsteinstr. 62 D-48149 Münster, Germany

E-mail address: `echters@math.uni-muenster.de`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, PO BOX 3045 STN CSC Victoria, B.C.Canada

E-mail address: `hemerson@math.uvic.ca`

Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Mathematisches Institut, Einsteinstr. 62 D-48149 Münster, Germany

E-mail address: `hjkim@math.uni-muenster.de`